Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

Public Hearing

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice
Austin Cullen

Held at:

Vancouver, British Columbia via video link

Thursday, June 11, 2020

APPEARANCES

Brock Martland, QC Patrick McGowan Cullen Commission

Cherisse Friesen Chantelle Rajotte Jacqueline Hughes B.C. (Ministry of Finance and Gaming Policy

and Enforcement Branch)

Dorian Simonneaux Katherine Shelley Canada

Ludmila Herbst, QC Catherine George Law Society of B.C.

Ron Usher Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

William Smart, QC B.C. Lottery Corporation

Mark Skwarok Melanie Harmer **Great Canadian Gaming Corporation**

Christine Mainville Robert Kroeker

Robin McFee, QC Maya Ollek James Lightbody

Chris Weafer Patrick Weafer

B.C. Real Estate Association

Jitesh Mistry B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

Carina Chiu Morgan Camley **BMW**

Emily Lapper B.C. Civil Liberties Association

Jo-Anne Stark Canadian Bar Association, B.C. Branch

Kevin Comeau Transparency International Coalition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE	
Witnesses called for the Commission:			
	MARK SIEBEN DR. CHRISTINA DAWKINS MEGAN HARRIS Examination by Mr. McGowan	2	
EXHIBITS			
	Mark Sieben - Biography Christina Dawkins - Curriculum Vitae	3 5	
	Megan Harris - Curriculum Vitae	6	
41	Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Minister	8	
	Committee Terms of Reference, September 2018		
42	Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Minister	10	
	Committee Terms of Reference, July 2019		
	AML Current Human Resources	18	
	The Provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy (slide deck)	25	
45 46	Anti-Money Laundering Strategic Timeline: Three Year Plan Provincial Anti Money Laundering Strategy (last undated)	30 30	
46	Provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy (last updated January 30, 2020)	30	
47	Agendas for the meetings of Deputy Ministers Committee	46	
' '	on Anti-Money Laundering	10	
48	Minutes of meetings of Deputy Ministers Committee on	46	
	Anti-Money Laundering		
49	Deloitte, Government of British Columbia Anti-Money	47	
	Laundering Jurisdictional Scan		
50	Briefing Document - February 25, 2018 - Threshold for reporting	60	
	beneficial ownership through companies under the proposed		
	Land Ownership Transparency Act		

TABLE OF CONTENTS, continued

		PAGE
51	Briefing document - February 26, 2019 - Final Structure of	61
	the Land Owner Transparency Act (LOTA)	
52	Briefing document- March 13, 2019 - Summary of Land	62
	Owner Transparency Act (LOTA) legislation and registry	
	Briefing Document - May 31, 2019 - Effective Date of	63
	Beneficial Owner Transparency Register	
54		65
	to the Land Owner Transparency Act (LOTA)	
55	B.C. Consultation on a Public Beneficial Ownership Registry	66
56	Briefing Document - June 3, 2019 - Federal/Provincial	73
	Implications of Expert Panel on Money Laundering in Rea	
	Estate recommendations	
57	9 1 9 9	75
	for Real Estate	
58	Briefing Document - October 16, 2019 - Single Regulator for	75
	Real Estate - Rule-making procedures	
59	Anti-Money Laundering Policing Unit Discussion (Slide Deck)	81
60	Anti-Money Laundering Financial Intelligence and	81
	Investigations Unit Draft Proposal	

Vancouver, B.C. June 11, 2020

THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. Thank you for waiting. The hearing is resumed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McGowan.

MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
Today we have panel of witnesses from the
Province of British Columbia. They include Mark
Sieben, Dr. Christina Dawkins, and Ms. Megan
Harris.

Before I commence, I just want to make a brief comment about the Commission's purpose in calling these witnesses at this time.

These witnesses are all members of the Province's Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee, and in some cases the secretariat also. They're being called by the Commission at this stage of the inquiry primarily to provide evidence on the Province's current and developing anti-money laundering strategy in order to allow the Commission to understand and evaluate that strategy against evidence, including sectorspecific evidence, we anticipate calling in the fall.

During the fall hearings, the Commission does plan to lead evidence on the topic of money laundering in specific sectors such as gaming and real estate industries in British Columbia, and we plan to call witnesses to address the government's response to the issue of money laundering in the province, which will include evidence on the issue of whether the acts or omissions of regulatory authorities or individuals contributed to money laundering.

I set this out now so that you and others will understand the focus of Commission counsel's examination today and to explain why some lines of inquiry may be deferred until other portions of our hearing.

With that said, Mr. Commissioner, I will ask that the witnesses be affirmed. They all prefer to be affirmed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Madam Registrar. THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Would the witnesses please unmute yourselves.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

1 Mr. Sieben, please state your full name and spell 2 our first name and last name for the record. 3 MR. SIEBEN: My full name is Mark Kenneth Sieben.

MR. SIEBEN: My full name is Mark Kenneth Sieben. M-a-r-k, S-i-e-b-e-n.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Ms. Dawkins, please state your full name and spell your first name and last name for the record.

DR. DAWKINS: My name is Christina Dawkins, C-h-r-i-s-t-i-n-a; Dawkins is D-a-w-k-i-n-s.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Ms. Harris, please state your full name and spell your first name and last name for the record.

MS. HARRIS: Megan Harris. M-e-g-a-n, H-a-r-r-i-s. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.

MARK SIEBEN, a witness called for the Commission, affirmed.

CHRISTINA DAWKINS, a witness called for the Commission, affirmed.

MEGAN HARRIS, a witness called for the Commission, affirmed.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Counsel.

MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. Mr. Commissioner, I intend to commence by taking these witnesses through their background and experience, and in doing so I plan to introduce their bios or curriculum vitaes.

I do so not because they are put forward as having a particular subject matter expertise in the way some of the witnesses you've heard over the past couple of weeks do, but to orient you and others to their relevant experience in positions they've held, primarily in government.

EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN:

- Q Mr. Sieben, perhaps I'll start with you. You are the current Deputy Solicitor General?
- MR. SIEBEN: I am.
- Q I wonder if you might just take --
- MR. MCGOWAN: Actually, Madam Registrar, can we bring up Mr. Sieben's bio, please.
 - Q That's your bio which sets out some of your

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

relevant experience and background, sir?

MR. SIEBEN: Excuse me. I just lost the screen for a moment. Yes. That looks familiar. That's me.

MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 38.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 38.

EXHIBIT 38: Mark Sieben - Biography

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

- Q Sir, I wonder if you might just take a moment and take the Commissioner through some of your relevant education and experience.
- MR. SIEBEN: Good morning, Commissioner. I've been working in the public service for a little over 30 years. These past 10 years has been in the role of Deputy Minister. Since June of 2016, I've been the Deputy Minister for Public Safety and Solicitor General. And previous to that I was in the role of Deputy Minister for the Ministry of Children and Family Development. And previous to that role I was the Deputy Minister for the Ministry of Social Development, which is B.C.'s income assistance and disability ministry.

Prior to assuming deputy roles, my -- most of my career was in the Ministry of Children and Family Development where I started as a child protection social worker in 1990.

Prior to coming into government, I worked as a youth and family councillor for a relatively short period of time, about a year and a half.

I have a Bachelor of Arts degree majoring in sociology and a law degree.

- Q Thank you, sir. You've held your current position since 2016?
- MR. SIEBEN: June of 2016, yes.
- Q Thank you. And I wonder if you could just briefly outline for the Commissioner some of the roles and responsibilities that you have as Deputy Solicitor General.
- MR. SIEBEN: The Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General is a relatively diverse and eclectic mix of programs and services in the public service, in the public safety and justice sector. The services range from consumer

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

protection to responsibility for office of the coroners, corrections, policing, crime prevention and victim assistance, also cannabis legalization. And I also have responsibility for the Office of Civil Forfeiture.

As a deputy minister, my role is to act as the lead public servant for this area, and so I act as a day-to-day operational authority for delivery of services in those program areas. I also have responsibility to provide support to our minister, the Honourable Mike Farnworth, who's the Minister for Public Safety and Solicitor General, and assist him in achieving the goals that are set by -- from himself and his cabinet colleagues and the Premier in our area.

Other areas of responsibility of a deputy minister include working on cross-ministry initiatives with my colleague deputy ministers, as well as working with various stakeholders and service delivery entities who may exist as an arm's length away or in a contracting relationship with the ministry.

- Q Okay, thank you. And one of those cross-ministry responsibilities, at least at present, is the government's anti-money laundering initiatives; is that correct?
- MR. SIEBEN: That's correct.
- Q Dr. Dawkins, maybe we could move on to you. You are the Executive Director of Corporate Priorities and Strategic Engagement in the Attorney General's ministry; is that correct?
 DR. DAWKINS: No, I'm not. Sorry.
- Oh, sorry. I've got you mixed up with Ms. Harris in my notes there, yes. You're the Executive Lead for the Government of British Columbia's Financial, Real Estate and Data Analytics Unit within the Ministry of Finance. Have I got that right?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- Q Thank you. I wonder if you might just take a moment and take the Commissioner through some of your relevant background and experience. Perhaps we can do that with the benefit of your CV which you've provided.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be displayed and if that could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 39.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 39.

EXHIBIT 39: Christina Dawkins - Curriculum
Vitae

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. Q Yes, Dr. Dawkins.

So I'm currently -- as you just DR. DAWKINS: mentioned, currently the Executive Lead in the Financial, Real Estate, and Data Analytics Unit within the Policy and Legislation Division of the Ministry of Finance. I've been in that role since September of 2019. Before that, I was Acting Assistant Deputy Minister for the Policy and Legislation Division in the Ministry of Finance for about six months. And before that I held -- I've held a couple of executive director roles within the Ministry of Finance. Directly before that I was the Executive Director of the Financial and Corporate Sector Policy Branch within the division, and before that I was the Executive Director of the LNG Taxation Development Branch, which no longer exists.

I started my career in the public service in December of 20 -- 1999, so I've been with the public service for just over 20 years. I've spent my entire time within the Policy and Legislation Division of the Ministry of Finance. I started as a tax policy analyst and spent about 15 years of my career undertaking tax policy analysis in various capacities.

And before that I came right out of grad school. I have a Ph.D. in economics and -- I have a Ph.D. in economics and I have a master's and undergraduate degree, also in economics.

- Q Thank you. And I wonder if you might just explain to the Commissioner some of the roles and responsibilities that you have in your current position.
- DR. DAWKINS: So the Financial, Real Estate, and Data Analytics Unit, which we refer to colloquially as FREDA, has two branches within it. It has a Policy Branch, and Policy Branch is responsible for the legislative frameworks around real estate, money laundering initiatives that fall

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

under the statutes that are the responsibility of the Minister of Finance, and -- for example the Mortgage Brokers Act. The Data Branch is a branch that is established to try and support the -- provide empirical support to the activities of the Policy and Legislation Division, including the Tax Policy Branch.

My role as an executive lead is to - to -identify priorities for the work for these two
branches and to communicate -- to bring options
through the bureaucracy, so to -- through the
deputy minister and to bring options to the
Minister of Finance for decision, and then to
ensure that those options are reflected in
whatever the subsequent legislation may be -- the
decisions are reflected in the subsequent
legislation or the subsequent activities of the
FREDA group.

- Q Thank you. Ms. Harris, perhaps we'll move on to you.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If could have Ms. Harris's CV displayed, please.
- Q That's the CV that you provided through counsel to the Commission, Ms. Harris?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, please.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 40.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 40.

MR. MCGOWAN:

EXHIBIT 40: Megan Harris - Curriculum Vitae

- Q Ms. Harris, if you could please just take a moment and walk the Commissioner through some of your relevant education and experience.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes. In my most recent position, I am the lead to the Anti-Money Laundering Secretariat for the Province of British Columbia. I'm the Executive Director of the Corporate Priorities and Strategic Engagement in the Ministry of Attorney General. I've been in this role since November 2018. Prior to this role I worked for a short period with Deloitte based in Victoria. Prior to that I was the Communications Director for the Minister of Attorney General from July

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

2017 to January 2018. And prior to that I was the Communications Director for the Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development, from August 2016 to July 2017. Prior to that I worked for local government and higher education in the province of Ontario.

- Thank you. And maybe just take a moment and tell the Commissioner some of the roles and responsibilities you have in your current positions.
- MS. HARRIS: As a lead to the Anti-Money Laundering Secretariat, I am responsible for the process and implementation and response to Dr. German's first report from 2018 and reporting through to the Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee. In that role we also support the project management and process for the response to Dr. Maloney's report as well as the review and analysis of Dr. German's 2019 "Dirty Money" report. In addition, we are responsible for the creation and implementation and action of the provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy.
- Q Okay, thank you. I'm going to ask you some questions now, and initially I'll focus my questions to Ms. Harris, but Mr. Sieben or Dr. Dawkins, I would invite you to weigh in if you have an additional comment. Or if you think you may be better placed to answer a question, please weigh in, or, Ms. Harris, please let us know if somebody else is better placed to respond to something.

You've mentioned the secretariat, and the secretariat, I gather, the primary function of that is to support the Province's Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee?

- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- Q What is the Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee?
- MS. HARRIS: The Anti-Money Laundering Deputy
 Ministers Committee is a committee of deputies
 representative of the Ministry of Finance,
 Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General
 and the Ministry of Attorney General. And we -they are responsible and oversee the
 implementation of all three expert reports as
 well as all anti-money laundering initiatives
 from the Province.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- Q When was that committee created?
 - MS. HARRIS: The committee was initially created in the summer of 2018 following Dr. German's initial report.
 - Q Was there a similar committee or analogous body in existence prior to that?
 - MS. HARRIS: No, not that I'm aware of.
 - Q And the secretariat, maybe just explain to the Commissioner briefly what the secretariat is and what its role is.
 - MS. HARRIS: The secretariat is the lead body responsible for the implementation of these three expert reports as well as the actions that will be brought forward through the strategy, and the main liaison for the Province on anti-money laundering initiatives.
 - When the committee was initially created, it was done so by way of a set of terms -- a document titled "Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Minister Committee Terms of Reference, September 2018"?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
 - MR. MCGOWAN: If we could have that document briefly displayed, please.
 - Q This is the initial terms of reference which struck the committee; is that correct?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
 - MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please.
 - THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 41.
 - THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 41.

EXHIBIT 41: Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Minister Committee Terms of Reference, September 2018

MR. MCGOWAN:

- Q Ms. Harris, I wonder if you could just explain the purpose and scope of the committee to the Commissioner as it was in September 2018.
- MS. HARRIS: As noted in this document, the deputy ministers committee was responsible to have ownership over the implementation of Dr. German's initial 2018 report.
- Q And did the role of the committee at that stage go beyond this at all, beyond the implementation of the recommendations from that single report?

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- MS. HARRIS: To my knowledge, no. It was strictly to the implementation of the first report.
 - Q And who were the members of the committee at that time?
 - MS. HARRIS: The voting members were the Deputy Minister of Finance, Deputy Minister and Solicitor General -- of Public Safety and Solicitor General, and the Associate Deputy Minister of the Ministry of Attorney General. Non-voting --
 - Q And --
 - MS. HARRIS: Oh, sorry.
 - Q Carry on, yes. I was going to ask you about non-voting members, so carry on.
 - MS. HARRIS: Non-voting members included the CEO of BCLC as well as the support function executive director from BCLC. In addition, there was the Assistant Deputy Minister of Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch, GPEB, as well as the support function executive director from GPEB as well, as well as the lead to the Anti-Money Laundering Secretariat.
 - Q Thank you. And did the committee have regular meetings?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, they met monthly.
 - Q And I presume minutes were produced to those meetings?
 - MS. HARRIS: That's correct.
 - Q Now, you've told the Commissioner the exclusive focus of the committee in September 2018 was the implementation of the initial report by Dr. German. And that report focused pretty much exclusively on the gaming industry, correct?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct. It was in response to Dr. German's first report that looked into Lower Mainland casinos.
 - I gather by September of 2018, the issue of money laundering and the awareness of it stretched beyond the gaming industry. There was certainly, I think it's fair to say, concern expressed about the impacts of money laundering in other sectors of society. Can you offer any insight into why, when the committee was initially struck, it focused solely on the gaming industry and did not have its mandate extended to sectors beyond the gaming industry?
 - MS. HARRIS: I was not in the role at this time, so I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- can't speak to that. Mr. Sieben could speak to that.
- Q Mr. Sieben, I wonder if you might offer any insight on that question.
- Yes, I'd be pleased to. If I might bring MR. SIEBEN: the Commissioner's attention to paragraph 1 in the terms of reference. We'll note towards the end of that initial paragraph that there's reference to the work of potentially being expanded to include consideration of other sectors such as real estate, luxury cars/goods, and horse racing. So it was contemplated that the original areas of inquiry as they were limited to casinos and gaming might be expanded dependent on what -- what material and issues were brought forward to the deputy ministers committee. However, the place that we started as the platform for our work, as Ms. Harris has indicated, was the original German report.
 - And Mr. Sieben, did the focus of the committee stay on gaming until its terms of reference -the terms of reference were amended -- and amended/expanded in June 2019?
- MR. SIEBEN: That's correct.
- And I've made reference to the terms of reference expanding. Ms. Harris, there was an alteration to the terms of reference or an amendment to them in June of 2019; is that correct?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If we could have that document displayed, please. If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that will be Exhibit 41.
- THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 41.
- MR. MCGOWAN: I believe -- Was the last document --
- THE REGISTRAR: Oh, I'm sorry. It's 42 -- 42, yes.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, my mistake.

EXHIBIT 42: Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Minister Committee Terms of Reference, June 2019

MR. MCGOWAN:

Q So Exhibit 42. Ms. Harris, I wonder if you could just explain to the Commissioner how the mandate of the committee was altered by the amendment to the terms of reference.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- MS. HARRIS: Following the receipt of two further executive reports, the second "Dirty Money" report from Dr. German in 2019 as well as the --Dr. Maloney's report, "Combatting Money Laundering in B.C. Real Estate," it was determined that the Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee could look at a broader --have a broader focus and coordinate a more multisectoral approach to anti-money laundering.
- And did the -- I gather from this document that the mandate of the committee now included taking the lead on the development of the Province's multisectoral anti-money laundering strategy; is that correct?
- MS. HARRIS: That is correct.
- Q Do you know who was responsible for making the decision to expand the mandate of the committee?
- MS. HARRIS: That was a decision that was discussed by the deputies at the Deputy Ministers Committee, and I welcome Mr. Sieben to make further comment if you'd like.
- Q Mr. Sieben, was that a decision of the committee itself or was that a decision that came from one of the ministers or from cabinet?
- MR. SIEBEN: It a -- Both to some degree. It became apparent during the initial year of the committee's existence that discussion and examination of money laundering, while it was premised on the original German report, couldn't be confined simply to looking at what was happening in gaming and casinos, work engaged both through the secretariat as it was brought to the committee, as well as externally as decisions were made by the Ministry of the Attorney General and the Ministry of Finance, to look beyond the area of gaming at money laundering issues. Consequently, additional external work was done both by Dr. German as well as a panel led by Maureen Maloney. And those reports in due course informed the broader scope of the committee as well as the activity that the committee asked of the secretariat. While this discussion and that decision was very much supported through the DMC/AML, we confirmed that approach, particularly through the Attorney General, given his primary responsibility for the area, but also through myself and the Solicitor General and through the

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

Deputy Minister of Finance with the Minister of Finance.

- Q Okay. And Mr. Sieben, does the committee make decisions and undertake initiatives, or is its role more in the realm of developing information and providing advice to government so government can make decisions about directions to be taken?
- MR. SIEBEN: It works in both ways. I might note that a deputy ministers committee isn't unique and specific to the area of anti-money laundering. At a point in which an area of business or an issue becomes relevant to government, we often go through a process of identifying where the ministry or area of primary responsibility is.

So for example, money laundering is primarily looked at as an area for focus through the Ministry of Attorney General. However, you can't really consider money laundering without looking at other areas such as banking and real estate, as well as policing.

So when the issue that comes into focus requires a fair degree of cross-ministry coordination and it is anticipated or directed that options be brought through ministers for decision by government, then a deputy ministers committee is a useful device to make sure that ministries are effectively coordinating that work, sharing information, and bringing options that can be supported collectively by the responsible ministries as opposed to just seeing the single focus of a particular ministry and a particular minister.

At the same time, the deputies have a responsibility to provide guidance and to a large degree direction to the day-to-day operations associated with the program or service that's focused on the enterprise.

In this instance, that happens through the secretariat, which is the sort of day-to-day entity which takes actions on behalf of government targeted towards money laundering, both in terms of developing information and options to provide to ministers as well as bringing back guidance or decisions and then looking to assist build whatever policy or structures that might be utilized in order to address money laundering.

So the responsibilities flow both ways.

Q So from the perspective of having a body that's designed to coordinate in a cross-ministry way the cross-sectoral anti-money laundering response, that body really came into existence in June of 2019?

- MR. SIEBEN: On a cross-sectoral basis, yes. While work would have been done in various program areas and also through external regulators, the scope of the deputy ministers committee was revised in September 2019 so that there would be opportunity to more effectively sort of coordinate what a holistic, integrated government response could be.
- Was there a concern prior to this time that there may have been a lack of coordination in the response to money laundering in this province?
- MR. SIEBEN: That was reflected in the reports that came forward and likely articulated a frustration internally both in terms of law enforcement and the staff in the relevant program areas. It is not uncommon for people who are working in specific roles to identify where more effective information sharing or coordination might beyond their specific program might facilitate a better outcome. So both internal to government as well as expressed in the external reports, increased coordination became a primary consideration for the deputy ministers committee.
- Q Ms. Harris, I wonder if you could just take the Commissioner through, in a general way at this stage, what the role of the secretariat is and the types of work that it does to support the committee.
- MS. HARRIS: Day-to-day responsibility is very much an advisory function as well as a project management role. From a project management perspective, we work with multiple branches and Crowns and stakeholders in determining an approach to implementing suggested recommendations as well as reviewing and analyzing the findings from the other reports. The Anti-Money Laundering Secretariat is directly responsible for the implementation of the first German report, and that is -- for the first report, it is working with, as I mentioned, those groups in analyzing each individual recommendation and how each of

22 23

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

those recommendations will be addressed specifically.

For the second report it was the responsibility of the secretariat to review and analyze that second report. And for the -- Dr. Maloney's report it was to support the Ministry of Finance in tracking and more of a project management perspective, supporting the Ministry of Finance.

As of late, we've been focusing quite a bit on the development of the provincial anti-money laundering strategy and the approach that government will take to implementing the actions that were identified within that strategy.

- Q I can only assume the deputy ministers have a broad range of responsibilities that keeps them quite busy. Do I take it that the daily running and development and implementation of the antimoney laundering strategy falls on members of the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. There is -- that's to say, though, that I am in constant communication with deputies and especially the Associate Deputy Minister of the Ministry of Attorney General.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. We've been provided a document titled "AML Current Human Resources."

 I wonder if that could be displayed, please.
- Q Do you have a copy of that, Ms. Harris, or can you see it on the screen?
- MS. HARRIS: I can. I'm just flipping to it in my set of documents. Yes, I have it. Thank you. That is correct. That's the one.
- Q Is this an up to date record of membership on the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: This document was produced in and around the January/February timeframe of this year.
- Q Okay. And has anything changed since it was created?
- MS. HARRIS: On this first page, just looking -- just looking at now, yes, nothing has changed from my perspective. I would have to ask Ms. -- Dr. Dawkins if the staff related to Ministry of Finance would be up to date.
- Q Okay. Well, let's focus on the secretariat for a second. This is the body that's -- am I correct? -- primarily responsible for developing and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- implementing the anti-money laundering strategy
 in the province?

 MS. HARRIS: That's correct. But it's important to
 - MS. HARRIS: That's correct. But it's important to note that the strategy was developed with a group of subject matter experts, a working group. So it wasn't solely created by the staff that's noted on this page.
 - Q Okay. So I've got -- you're the Executive Director and you're at .75. That means three-quarters of your time is devoted to the secretariat?
 - MS. HARRIS: That is correct.
 - Q You have a communications director who's onetenth?
 - MS. HARRIS: That's correct.
 - Q And it looks like you've got a -- and after your name it says "unfunded permanent staff." What does the unfunded notation mean?
 - MS. HARRIS: That's -- that is just noted that, even though I'm a permanent staff person, the funding for the secretariat is through contingency funding, which is a normal part of a new initiative. This was -- the secretariat was created after the government -- this current government came into power, and after a budget process that was put in place. I welcome Mr. Sieben if he'd like to add anything more to that.
 - MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. It -- From my perspective, whether the position is funded or unfunded doesn't have a whole lot of meaning attached to it. It is often the case, as Ms. Harris has indicated, that new areas of focus come to the attention of government, and if the -- that those areas probably require support, that they perhaps don't have the opportunity to receive attention and support through the original budget process. deputies and ADMs and their staff find means by which to initially support the work so that might result in hiring additional staff or reallocating current staff to a new role, so that those positions are unfunded in the sense that they don't have a base in the original budget year. Often that can be addressed in contingency. subsequent years it could very well be that those unfunded positions become funded. The -- My experience over a number of years in deputy and executive roles is if those unfunded positions

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

pertain more directly to service delivery, so services [indiscernible] to the province, they're quickly funded within the next budget cycle. That's often the case.

If the nature of the work is more project or program and policy basis, quite often our colleagues in Finance leave deputies the challenge of finding means to continue that work. Regardless whether the position is funded or unfunded, that work is strongly supported and remains a priority.

- Q The secretariat was in existence at the time the last budget was approved?
- MR. SIEBEN: It was.
- Q Ms. Harris or Mr. Sieben, I'll invite either of you to -- well, maybe, Ms. Harris, I'll just ask you. Some of the positions are filled but there appears to be a position for a senior policy analyst and senior project manager which are vacant; is that correct?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct. They are currently -- both of those are currently vacant.
- Q How long have they been vacant?
- MS. HARRIS: The senior project manager role has been vacant since the beginning of February, and the senior policy analyst vacant role has not -- we have not had a person in that position. It has not been something that we've currently posted.
- So if I'm looking at this correctly, at present over half of the man hours or person hours that are intended to be devoted to the secretariat are vacant at present; is that right?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- Q Okay. Why have you not posted a senior policy analyst full time role to be filled?
- MS. HARRIS: That's a position that would -- we figured would work strictly on more of the enforcement and compliance side of the recommendations and any future enforcement work that was required. So at this time that work is not something that is currently under way. So it is not needed at this time.
- MR. SIEBEN: If I might offer some further comment -- O Yes.
- MR. SIEBEN: -- that's desirable.
- 46 Q Certainly
- 47 MR. SIEBEN: The -- While it's very much the case that

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the real focus for government's anti-money laundering work on a day-to-day basis occurs through the secretariat, it is not the case that money laundering isn't considered in program areas in respective ministries. So reflective in the secretariat, for example, are a couple of very talented staff from the Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General. They in turn, to the degree necessary, would be looking -- working with colleagues in the Police Services Branch, with whom both of these senior staff are based, on relevant matters. And all of that work would contribute to the ongoing work in the secretariat.

The model that's, as I understand, as it's been brought forward from the secretariat to the deputy ministers committee is forward looking in that much of the initial work has consisted as - has been described as looking at the original reports. And while the focus isn't specific to those external reports commenting on money laundering, they provide a platform and a basis for government's considerations in this area. As the strategy develops, one might anticipate that as it's picked up and endorsed by government, we would see those positions noted as vacant getting consideration for further support.

- Q Do you have any plan in terms of timing for posting and filling the senior policy analyst position?
- MR. SIEBEN: I'm not aware of that. That would occur through -- premised on Ms. Harris's advice and recommendation, and then through the Associate Deputy Minister at the Ministry of Attorney General. Those plans would likely be shared with our deputy ministers council, and I can't say that I've seen a timeline associated with the posting of those positions.
- Ms. Harris, do you -- can you offer any insight into when the secretariat or committee plans to seek to fill the senior analyst position?
- MS. HARRIS: It will depend. I don't have a plan right now. But I expect that it would come to light as our work begins in actioning the provincial strategy. As Mr. Sieben noted, to date a lot of our -- the work that's done within the secretariat is with subject matter experts in

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

their areas. We do a significant amount of work with the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch and analysts and the investigative team within that branch along with the B.C. Lottery Corporation and the analysts and staff there. So at this time that position has not been needed. I neglected to also note that we work quite a bit with the two representatives from PSSG. That's the noted below the secretariat list. So at this time that position wasn't needed. I do suspect that it will become more and more important for that role as the strategy begins to be actioned, and I expect that to be in the -- within this year.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner, 43, I believe.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Exhibit 43. Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 43.

EXHIBIT 43: AML Current Human Resources

MR. MCGOWAN:

- And before I move on, Ms. Harris, maybe I'll just ask you, when the mandate of the deputy ministers committee was amended by way of the June 2019 terms of reference, did the membership stay the same?
- MS. HARRIS: No, the membership did change. The voting membership stayed the same. The deputies and associate deputy minister did not change. However, the non-voting members did. The -- Dr. Dawkins from the Ministry of Finance became a non-voting member of the deputy ministers committee, and Ms. Brenda Butterworth-Carr from the PSSG, who is the ADM and General Manager of Public safety, was added as a non-voting member in support of Mr. Sieben as Deputy Solicitor General.
- Q And what happened to the representatives from BCLC and GPEB?
- MS. HARRIS: GPEB and BCLC became guests when needed and called upon as subject matter experts and they attended meetings only when needed.
- Q I take it that was when the committee was focusing on matters related to the gaming industry?
- MS. HARRIS: That's correct.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- You've told us that the mandate of the committee and the secretariat is to guide and direct the development of a provincial multisectoral antimoney laundering strategy. And I gather you have done some work and made some progress in that regard.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. We do have a strategy that has been developed and a timeline outlined.
- We've been provided with some documents which appear to relate to the committee's work in this regard, and I'd like to take you through some of those.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Could we please have displayed the Provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy, June 30th, 2020. If it assists internally, that's at our tab 10.
- Ms. Harris, is this the Anti-Money Laundering Strategy that has been developed by the committee and the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's the one.
- Q Okay. And is this the most up-to-date version of it?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is.
- Q And who authored this document?
- MS. HARRIS: It was authored by the secretariat, and the contents of it were developed by a working group that was -- that consisted of staff of the secretariat, staff from the Ministry of Finance, staff from Public Safety and Solicitor General, and Legal Services Branch as well as a support team for facilitation from our Justice Services Branch.
- Q And has this document been approved by government?
- MS. HARRIS: It has been supported by the Minister of Finance, Solicitor General and Attorney General.
- Q Okay. Is this -- ? This is the current Anti-Money Laundering Strategy of the Province and the one that they are pursuing; is that fair?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes. This is the one that we are working towards -- or working from, yes.
- Q Okay. And what did the secretariat draw upon for background material to [indiscernible] this document? I assume the starting point may have been the reports, the German and Maloney reports. But aside from those, what else did you draw

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

upon?

- MS. HARRIS: You are correct. We drew upon the three expert reports along with subject matter expertise from the areas that were represented within the working group as well as stakeholders that we had been working with for the implementation of the first German report. We also had support from a third-party consultant in developing a jurisdictional scan and advisory function and -- advising us on the drafting of the document.
- Q Okay. And who was that expert?
- MS. HARRIS: Deloitte.
- Q And you were working with Sir Robert Wainright through that process as well?
- MS. HARRIS: That's correct. As well as others.
- I'm going to come back to that document in a moment. I'd like to move on, though, to a slide deck you've provided us, which I think is intended to assist you in going through some of the information that's in that document.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Could we have the slide deck May 2020, AML Strategy for Cullen Commission.
- Ms. Harris, this is a slide deck that you through counsel have provided to the Commission?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- Q And is this slide deck intended to assist in providing an overview of what's contained in the strategy?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes. It's a very high-level overview of the document.
- Q I'm going to take you through the slide deck in fairly brief fashion to give you an opportunity to orient the Commissioner with the strategy, and then I'm going to go back to the strategy and I'll have a few specific questions about it.

 If we could start on page 2.
- MR. MCGOWAN: And I'll ask Madam Registrar to leave that displayed on the screen. Page 2 of the document, please. The number is in the bottom left corner. Yes, thank you. I guess that's perhaps page 3 of the PowerPoint but page 2 of the document.
- Q The document sets out the following problem statement:

A thriving criminal economy that has

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

infiltrated many sectors of the economy and an inadequate ability to identify and deter money laundering in the province.

Is that the committee's assessment of the current state of affairs and the problem that the strategy is intended to tackle?

- MS. HARRIS: Yes. And I just want to clarify, when you say committee, I would like to say it's the working group that developed the strategy versus the committee as we've been discussing as the deputy ministers committee. So I just want to clarify it is the working group's statement that we describe as the problem in the province.
- Q Okay. And is that the working group's assessment of the current state of affairs?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- Q And that current state of affairs is contributed to, you identify by "a smart and nimble criminal economy, a fractured response to money laundering, and a significant number of stakeholders in multiple sectors"?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. We see the criminal economy with significant funds and resources available to them. And to date, the response to money laundering in the province has been done in individual siloed function and not as a cohesive unit. There's a number of stakeholders we identified across the province in a number of sectors, and everyone, as we see, would respond in their own individual way.
- Q Okay. And this strategy is the working group's and ultimately the committee's plan to combat those issues?
- MS. HARRIS: That's correct.
- If we could flip to page 4, the triangle or the pyramid. So the problem is the base of this pyramid, and I gather the foundational principles and goals and objectives are your analysis of the route forward to an ultimate solution; is that fair?
- MS. HARRIS: That is fair. And it's outlined later on what those foundational principles are.
- Q Okay. So I'm going to give you a chance to explain those to the Commissioner.

What is the timeframe that the working group or the committee envisions to get to the top of

4.5 4.6

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

this pyramid, which I assume is the desired outcome?

- MS. HARRIS: Yes. So this strategy is a framework that was built in an agile way. It was built as a 10-year outlook with the primary response being in the first four years. It's made up of three goals and eight objectives with a number of those actions taking place beginning in what we call year zero, which was the moment we started working on the strategy. So ultimately we would see the majority of the strategy responded to within the first four years.
- Q Okay. And what's going to wait for years 5 through 10?
- MS. HARRIS: Ongoing implementation and auditing and education, consultation, and ongoing work required with some of those actions.
- So I wonder if you could take the Commissioner through the founding principles which are set out on page 5 of the PowerPoint.
- MS. HARRIS: So that's that colorful box. So we identified four main principles which spoke directly to the criminal economy, as you can see, and one that spoke directly to the citizens of British Columbia. We based the strategy off of the four key items that you see under the criminal economy, which is, one, the need to identify money laundering in the province. The expert reports were helpful in identifying the problem within casinos, Lower Mainland casinos, luxury goods, the real estate sector and horseracing. But we aren't familiar or we don't have enough of an understanding of what may be occurring in other sectors. So that is one of our founding principles.

We also want to deter and disrupt any activity going on, we -- one of the founding principles being that any action we take should disrupt this illicit behaviour. And when that illicit behaviour does come, we have the ability as a cohesive and collaborative unit to enforce the measures put in place.

The fourth item is the ability to measure our success, which needs further work done on that. I think that it's important to note that measuring this is not something that will be done easily, and we still have to determine what that

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

would look like.

The fifth principle related to B.C. citizens. We understand -- as we were going through this, we -- it was very clear that there would be an impact, both positive and negative, to the citizens of British Columbia, the lawabiding citizens of British Columbia, and so our goal is to limit that to be as reasonable as possible -- limit that impact as much as possible.

- Okay. And what sort of impacts are we speaking of there, negative impacts in particular?
- MS. HARRIS: Well, we've seen through the Dr. Maloney report that there's already been an impact to the real estate sector. We expect that there may possibly be impacts to the real estate sector as we continue going through this, but I'm not an expert and would not be able to speak to that specifically.
- Q That's an impact of -- potential impact of money laundering on the sector. I gather what this principle focuses on is negative impacts from efforts to combat money laundering; is that correct?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: We'll come back to that in a little bit. I don't see the document displayed on my screen any more. Mr. Commissioner, can you still see it? Or I see it's come back now.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I can still see it.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

- You've mentioned that the strategy is guided by a set of goals and objectives, and I wonder if you might just take a moment, starting on page 7 of the PowerPoint, to briefly describe those goals and objectives to the Commissioner.
- MS. HARRIS: Absolutely. As I mentioned, there are three goals and eight objectives. Beyond those goals and objectives, there's a series of strategies and actions to speak to each of these. And as I mentioned, this was developed in an agile way to respond to any feedback we receive along the way as well as the reports of the Commission that come out, the interim and the final report.

So we do see that the three goals and objectives are static. However, the strategies

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

and actions associated with implementing those objectives would be agile, and may change, removed, or others be added as well. So that's important to note.

What you see on page 7 is a representation of the first goal with four objectives, and this speaks to really the first couple of founding principles around the identification and prevention of money laundering. It speaks to the need to understanding the current impact in other sectors outside of those that we already mentioned, understanding if there is — if money laundering has impacted other sectors and what that may look like, and also understanding what industries are most at risk and putting a framework in place to help prevent that.

It also outlines in objective 1.4 the need for coordinated and cohesive response and working with the federal government, a large group of stakeholders, industry partners to be able to do this. It goes into depth around the need for data and data analysis and understanding what data we have access to and what data we do not have access to, and the gaps therein. It speaks to the need for the sharing of data and the sharing of the analysis that comes from that. And Goal 2?

MS. HARRIS: Goal 2, which is on page 8, speaks to compliance and enforcement response. As I mentioned, the goal is to disrupt the activity that's taking place and making it very hard for the criminal economy to continue and to move to other sectors within the legitimate economy.

So Goal 2 is around developing the tools and expertise to be able to effectively enforce antimoney laundering initiatives.

- Q And is that objective based in part on an assessment that the Province currently doesn't have sufficient tools and expertise to combat the problem?
- MS. HARRIS: This came from the report that came through from Dr. German and Dr. Maloney that there is a lack of expertise in this area.
- Q And is that input from those reports -- is that aligned with the working group's assessment of the current situation?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

And Goal 3?

- MS. HARRIS: Goal 3 very much speaks to education and partnership with our stakeholders and the need to have those within the province regulators, nonregulators and all parties involved to work together to combat this behaviour. So this is more -- this is -- Goal 3 is around education and communication.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Okay. If I could just have a moment, Mr. Commissioner. If that could be the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 44.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 44.

EXHIBIT 44: The Provincial Anti-Money

Laundering Strategy (slide deck)

- MR. MCGOWAN: If could next, Madam Registrar, have displayed a document entitled "Anti-Money Laundering Strategic Timeline: Three Year Plan." It's tab 8 of my materials, if that assists.
- Ms. Harris, what is this document?
- MS. HARRIS: As I mentioned earlier, the strategy was developed based on a 10-year timeline with very quick action taken within the first four years. So this timeline is a representation of the actions -- the strategies and actions that would be undertaken or are envisioned for the first four years.
- Okay. And what do the different colours represent?
- MS. HARRIS: As we went through -- as the working group went through the strategies and actions, we identified if they were either a must-do action a should-do action, or a nice-to-do action. And you can see by the template at the bottom -- lost my word there -- that would identify each of those colours.
- Okay. So blues are must-do, greens are shoulddo, and yellow is nice-to-do?
- That's correct. MS. HARRIS:
- And I wonder if we might just flip forward to the fourth page of the document, please. I see some red lines have been added. It looks like the same document but with red lines struck through some of the actions. Can you explain to the

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

Commissioner what this portion of the chart displays.

- MS. HARRIS: That's correct. So when I envisioned this document, I had in mind what would be like an old overhead projector and would have overlays. And so it would start with the document the first couple of pages we were looking at, which is the fulsome response. And then the overlay, which is the crossed—out lines, are identifying all those items that would have a new capital or operating request associated to them. So the red lines are associated to those that would not be undertaken at this time due to a new funding or human resource request.
- So are these -- maybe help me understand. Are these actions which have dropped off because of a lack of funding?
- MS. HARRIS: I wouldn't say that they've dropped off. They've been put on hold. They've been put on hold for the time being for a number of reasons. One, we wanted to ensure that we were able to tackle some primary items first. In addition, having the Cullen Inquiry announced, we wanted to ensure that we are able to take into consideration the recommendations within the Commission's interim report and final report and be able to adjust this timeline and the actions as needed. We didn't want to jump the gun.

And yes, there are also human resource and funding impacts to each of these.

- So for example, the first red line we see is crossed-out: "Develop a recruitment plan to ensure strong future provincial capacity and AML expertise in B.C." I gather that's been, by the red line through it, been put on hold because of some sort of constraints?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes. I wouldn't necessarily say because of constraints. But the -- it's dependent on action ahead of it, which is 1.1.1a, and the need to analyze for independent body to oversee AML. We determined that that will take a significant amount of time and up to the end of fiscal year 2021. So I did not mention that, that year zero, year one, year two, year three -- this based on the government's fiscal year.
- Q Right.
- MS. HARRIS: So we determined that that would take

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

enough -- a significant amount of time, and by the end of fiscal 2021, we'll have received feedback and recommendations from external stakeholders as well as the interim reports from the Cullen Commission. So that one action item, 1.1.1b, was not required and was put on hold for the time being.

Q Okay. One of the items that had been in year zero is strategy 1.1.3a: "Provide guidance and training to real estate agents on potential impacts of money laundering."

Can you offer any insight into why that's been struck out?

- MS. HARRIS: I can't -- I can't recall at this time.
 I think it's because we did not have the
 resources at the time to do that, but I can't
 recall off the top of my head. I'd have to look
 back at my notes.
- Q Thank you. Similarly, strategy 1.2.6: "Develop data analytic capabilities to identify money laundering activities in real estate."

Can you assist with why that item and a number of items underneath it have been struck?

- MS. HARRIS: That was a conversation we had at the working table -- working group table, and was brought forward by Finance. And I would need to leave that to Dr. Dawkins and the Ministry of Finance to answer. I can't recall the reason why the suggestion came forward from Finance for that to be put on hold at this time.
- Q Yes. Dr. Dawkins, can you assist? You'll have to -- sorry -- yes, there we go.
- DR. DAWKINS: Yeah, sorry. No, I'm just trying to actually follow -- which number is it again?
- Q If you can see the page, it's strategy 1.2.6. It's the last blue line on the far left column under year zero. It's got a red line through it.
- DR. DAWKINS: Okay.
- Q I was just asking Ms. Harris why that item which had originally been in the must-do category for year zero has been struck.
- DR. DAWKINS: I do not know why it has been struck.

 There are elements of that strategy that are
 currently being undertaken, so I'm not too sure
 why it's been struck.
- Q Okay. Moving to the next page, page 3, Goal 2, item number 2.1.1, something that had been in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

must-do category, "Develop dedicated AML compliance and enforcement units." Ms. Harris, can you assist us with why that has been redlined?

MS. HARRIS: Yes. That has significant resources attached to that item, and one that needed further evaluation. And we wanted to ensure that we didn't undertake a large project like that before understanding the recommendations from the Cullen Inquiry. In addition, I want to note that it does not mean that we're not working through compliance and enforcement activities. We continue to do that. We just are not creating a dedicated -- more analysis is required to understand what a dedicated unit would look like.

MR. SIEBEN: I might offer a contribution, please, Mr. McGowan.

MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. Thank you.

In my view, which I believe is shared by MR. SIEBEN: my colleagues on the deputy ministers committee, we're simply not there yet and in order to be able to fulsomely embrace that strategy. still have more to learn regarding the extent of money laundering in various sectors and the best means by which to interrupt and address that. So, sort of regardless of whether it shows in year one, that we're simply not in a position to be able to have confidence that the model we -that could be built now would be successful in -in meeting that very high challenge. As Ms. Harris has suggested, while there is much that we can do and continue to do on the enforcement side through existing resources and mandates, and facilitate greater coordination and information sharing between them, creating a new structure and unit without having a very clear and cogent vision of what it would consist of, what it would cost, and what it would achieve would not be supported by government. And to that end, and again as Ms. Harris has indicated, we also sort of recognize at the deputy ministers committee that it is within this area that the Commission may well give the Province some advice. our intention is to move forward and continue to improve what we have, we're likely a little reticent to create an omnibus structure that would be offered as a fulsome response to money

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

laundering in this context.

- Q Thank you, Mr. Sieben. I see also crossed off under that same goal is: "Consider a fenced funding model for policing," and I take it fenced funding refers to funding that is earmarked for and dedicated to a specific project or unit and can't be hived off and used for other activities, thereby diluting the resources available to the intended focus. Maybe I'll ask you first. Is that what your understanding of fenced funding is?
- MR. SIEBEN: That's a pretty accurate description, yes, Mr. McGowan.
- Q Does the crossing out of that goal indicate that the government has rejected the concept of fenced funding for some form of dedicated anti-money laundering enforcement unit?
- MR. SIEBEN: No. I would say that it is not the case that the red line through any of the initiatives should be taken as a rejection of the concept by government. It's more the case that there's either yet to be a decision or there's a decision that the activity is best approached when either more is understood or more resources could be allocated. There already exists some fenced funding specific to AML, although it's not as ambitious as our strategy has in mind. There are some resources, for example, through the Joint Illegal Gaming Investigation Team. That money is fenced. And while its primary objective isn't solely on money laundering, some of its activities have pertained to that.

We have a while to go yet before we actually measure up to that activity with what we would see as complete compliance. It's something that we're working at incrementally at this point.

Q Okay.

- MR. SIEBEN: And something that, I might add, probably -- and as Ms. Harris has indicated as she has gone through the chart, would flow through being able to develop the dedicated AML unit and how that [indiscernible] which lined up beneath that strategy.
- Q Thank you. Ms. Harris, you made reference to the chart relating to the government fiscal year as opposed to calendar year. What is the start and end date of the government fiscal year?

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

MS. HARRIS: The start is April 1st of each year and end date is March 31st of each year.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, if that timeline could be the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. I think we're now at Exhibit 45.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 45.

 $\underline{\text{EXHIBIT 45}}$: Anti-Money Laundering Strategic Timeline: Three Year Plan

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. Madam Registrar, if we could just have brought up again the Provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy dated January 30th, 2020. Madam Registrar, can you please remind me whether we've marked this document yet.

THE REGISTRAR: No, we haven't.

MR. MCGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, could this be the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be 46.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 46.

EXHIBIT 46: Provincial Anti-Money Laundering Strategy (last updated January 30, 2020)

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If we could just turn to page 3 of the document. That's the one.

- Q Ms. Harris, this is set out in a slightly different format, but these are the goals and objectives and foundational principles that you took the Commissioner through?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- Q If we could flip to the next page, please. Now, I gather this page contains some analysis of approaches to and barriers to getting from the bottom of that pyramid we saw to the top of the pyramid; is that fair?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
- And in the top left corner there's a box titled "Current State." And from reviewing this, it appears to me that this might be an articulation of some of the challenges that the working group has identified that might impede getting from where we are now to where the committee wants to be. Is that a fair description of this box?
- MS. HARRIS: Yeah. It's -- I wouldn't -- it

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

definitely has challenges within that current state. This is — this box identifies and takes into consideration the current state that was identified by Dr. German and Dr. Maloney as well as others, and that's how the working group saw the Province at the time. That's our starting point essentially. The box to the right, the Future State, is our future dream state. That's where we hope to be.

Q So that's the aspirational box.

MS. HARRIS: Correct.

Q Okay. Well, let's start in the current state box and then I'm going to move and ask you a few questions about some of the other boxes.

The first point that's identified is that regulators tend to be siloed and unaligned and haven't always been brought together. Which regulators are you referring to there?

- MS. HARRIS: That's a very generalized statement.

 However, you could probably assume that real
 estate regulators do not speak often to those
 outside of real estate, regulators outside of
 real estate. So real estate regulators wouldn't
 be speaking to gambling regulators, as an
 example. They work within their own swim lanes.
- You identify that there's multiple databases in different systems that makes sharing and consideration of information difficult. What sort of databases are you speaking of there?
- MS. HARRIS: Any databases that regulators and non-regulators may use. As an example, gambling regulators need to -- gambling regulators register gambling workers. There's a database there. Land title, as soon as you register your title with the LTSA, there's a database there as well. Everyone uses their own systems and their own formats, and there isn't one consistent or one way that would be able to bring that information together to analyze in a simple or a more simplistic way.
- And what's the plan, if there is one, to address the difficulties of multiple -- presented by multiple databases?
- MS. HARRIS: I think, as noted in the strategy, one of the first things we need to do is understand what data there is and what data there is not. From there we need to determine how that data is

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- compiled and if there's analytic capabilities of that data, and understand the challenges if there are not, and then the ability to share and bring that data together to analyze even in an anonymous way, to be able to share the trends and flags that come from that data so that action can be taken.
- The document speaks to a challenge presented by privacy concerns of regulators regarding the sharing of information. I wonder if you can address the Commissioner on what privacy concerns have been expressed and how the government is considering tackling that issue.
- MS. HARRIS: I think there's general privacy concerns around information sharing and the ability to do that. And this is one area that we specifically have to explore to understand the limitations and the work that can be done and how we can bring information together. I think it's a -- we identified in the strategy as a critical action that needs to be taken. In order for government and stakeholders to work cohesively, we need to be able to have the ability to share information. So we need to understand what those limitations are.
- Next in the list of current state issues is what's described as a misalignment of priorities between the federal government and British Columbia. Where did the working group identify that the priorities between the two levels of government were misaligned and how does that represent a challenge?
- MS. HARRIS: This was something that was identified through Dr. German's report, and it was taken from Dr. German's report. I can't speak specifically to the misalignment of priorities myself.
- Q Mr. Sieben, can you assist us on that issue? I was asking Ms. Harris about the notation under current state speaking to the misalignment of priorities between the federal government and British Columbia.
- MR. SIEBEN: I might allow myself to speculate slightly, Mr. McGowan, in this area. My recollection from Dr. German's discussion was the lack of federal priority to the extent of actually allocating resources specific to money

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- laundering, particularly as they are present here in British Columbia, keeping in mind that some of this turf jurisdictionally is federal turf.
- Ms. Harris, you speak to some of these coming from the German and Maloney reports, some of these concerns. But do I take it that having made their way into the Province's Anti-Money Laundering Strategy, the working group and the committee have assessed that these are an accurate assessment of the current state?
- MS. HARRIS: I wouldn't say an accurate assessment. It's a concern.
- Well, let's move on to couple of bullet points down: "No dedicated federal AML enforcement resources." Does that continue to be a concern and a challenge?
- MS. HARRIS: It's definitely a concern. It was a concern when this was originally drafted, which was September of 2019. I do know that much work has been done since then. But it does remain a concern.
- Q Are you aware of any dedicated federal anti-money laundering enforcement resources that are available and in place in British Columbia at present?
- MS. HARRIS: No, I can't speak to anything dedicated. I just -- I know that the federal government did announce in their 2019 budget the federal ACE teams.
- Q We'll be hearing a little bit more about that later in the inquiry. Maybe I'll ask you about the next bullet point then, and that is an assessment that it's difficult to prosecute money laundering. And Mr. Sieben, I don't know if this might be better directed to you, or you, Ms. Harris. I'll invite either of you to maybe just address what that conclusion is based on.
- MR. SIEBEN: I might offer a financial perspective and perhaps Ms. Harris might add to that.

My understanding from having spoken with enforcement officials is the nature of money laundering investigations is very complex. They often take a great deal of time, and they are very complicated and the number of — the amount of information that has to be brought together. And by bringing together all that information to the point where it's likely to sustain a view

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

from Crown that there's a likelihood of prosecution can be a challenge. And that's reflected in the bullet statement, that it's difficult to prosecute.

- MS. HARRIS: I think it's important to note that it was stated in Dr. German's report also the difficulty in prosecuting money laundering and that the reliance on -- or not reliance, but the -- it's easier to prosecute the predicate offence.
- Q Did the committee look at how many attempted prosecutions of money laundering offences there have been in the province in recent years?
- MS. HARRIS: No, not for writing the strategy. I would assume that that is something that we would do as we start to work through that strategy and action specifically.
- Whether in furtherance of writing the strategy or not, have you looked to -- has the working group looked to the number of attempted prosecutions of money laundering offences in the province in recent years?
- MS. HARRIS: Not our working group, no.
- Q The committee or the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: No.
 - Q One of the bullet points identified is a reliance on civil forfeiture instead of criminal prosecution. What does that -- what concern does that reflect in terms of combating money laundering?
 - MS. HARRIS: That was a topic that was discussed in depth in Dr. German's 2019 report, and the -- I think I would leave it to Mr. Sieben to say more around civil forfeiture.
 - Q Yes, Mr. Sieben.
- MR. SIEBEN: Yes. As Ms. Harris had indicated, quite often in these complex investigations that the enforcing body might choose the sort of prerequisite sort of crimes as opposed to compiling all of the elements that are constituted with being able to sustain a money laundering type of charge. Similarly, through that process, the investigating body might come upon assets that have been identified as arising from criminal activities, and there is opportunity then for consideration for referral to the Office of Civil Forfeiture. That office

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

has a statutory mandate then to consider whether or not it may be sort of feasible to seize those assets and sort of take them out of and away from the criminal enterprise.

While reliance is a bit of a strong word perhaps, certainly civil forfeiture is available as a tool for law enforcement to consider in order to achieve to some degree what may not be available within a criminal context.

- Q Ideally would the deputy ministers committee like law enforcement to prioritize enforcement over asset forfeiture? Is that what this bullet speaks to?
- MR. SIEBEN: I think our preference would be not having to make that choice, that both might be available to us.
- Q Thank you. Ms. Harris, the final bullet point under current state is money laundering may be viewed by the public as a victimless crime. What's the secretariat or deputy minister committee's position on whether it is in fact a victimless crime?
- MS. HARRIS: I'll let Mark -- Mr. Sieben speak to the perspective of the deputy ministers committee. But I think it's important to note that it's not -- we don't see it as a victimless crime. It's connected to the drug trade and money that is used within money laundering often comes from the drug trade, which is connected to the opioid crisis. Opinion of the deputy ministers committee would have to come from Mr. Sieben.
- Q Yes, Mr. Sieben, I'll give you a chance to address that.
- MR. SIEBEN: Yes. I would offer that often -- not always but often there isn't a visible offence. There isn't a broken window or a stolen car or a harm that an individual suffers physically. And therefore, from a public perspective, it may not appear that there is an identifiable victim that is harmed through the criminal enterprise.
- Q Moving over to the future state, and one of the aspirations articulated in this document, about halfway down that box is: "AML actions are measurable and evaluated to assess value for money." And I gather maybe I'll ask you, Mr. Sieben -- what this speaks to is the ability to assess whether you're getting bang for your buck

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- and having a real impact on the measures that are undertaken. Is that fair?

 MR. SIEBEN: Yeah, that's a fair summary, Mr. McGowan.
 - MR. SIEBEN: Yeah, that's a fair summary, Mr. McGowan. Q
 Okay. How does government plan to measure its success and evaluate the return on investment of the measures it undertakes to tackle money laundering?
 - MR. SIEBEN: I'll defer that question to Ms. Harris to being with and perhaps may be able to add something on to her statement.
 - Q All right, Ms. Harris.
 - MS. HARRIS: Mr. McGowan, I think it's important to note that this is this was one of our founding principles was to be able to measure the work that comes out of these actions or the success of these actions. I think it's work that the working group still needs to do to understand how we are going to measure success, and we don't know what that looks like yet. It's something that's difficult to do. We don't know we know that there will be successes, but how to measure that is something that still needs to be undertaken and is difficult, not just for our group but I know for many.
 - MR. SIEBEN: I might offer a little bit of comment further to add to that. A few minutes ago we brought the Commissioner's attention to the multiyear sort of plan, sort of layout, and it was identified that a number of the items that comprise the strategy are activities that are yet to be funded although they're within the scope of the overall strategy, not specific to money laundering, but it's my experience that the likelihood of being able to convince government to allocate appropriate funding to support an activity is often commensurate with our ability to demonstrate with confidence or to give -provide evidence that the activities are going to result in the desired outcome. And so that is --I understand that bullet basically to represent that challenge, as Ms. Harris has indicated. That's not to say that's necessarily easy, but from the deputy ministers committee we would be looking for the secretariat and our staff in various capacities to be able to bring us plans that will allow us to connect the dots between funding to activity to a solution, so that we

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- might then stand a better chance of getting that activity funded.

 Okay. Ms. Harris, is one of the -- I gather one
 - Q Okay. Ms. Harris, is one of the -- I gather one of the goals of the program is to -- or the strategy is to reduce the quantity of funds, illicit funds laundered in British Columbia; is that fair?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes. That's fair to say.
 - Q And I gather, then, one of the things government will want to do is have in place some system to measure whether they've had success in that regard.
 - MS. HARRIS: Ideally, yes. I think it's -- as we know, it's difficult to put a baseline on that, though, as well.
 - Q Has the secretariat or government otherwise undertaken any initiative, aside from the work done in the Maloney report, which will quantify the amount of illicit funds laundered in the province, annual basis or otherwise?
 - MS. HARRIS: No, that's not been undertaken.
 - Q How can government expect to assess whether they're having a positive impact by reducing the quantity of funds laundered if they don't start with a baseline?
 - MS. HARRIS: That would be something that would need to be undertaken as an action within the strategy.
 - Q And it hasn't to date?
 - MS. HARRIS: No, it has not.
 - MR. MCGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, this might be a convenient time for a break. I'll note you're still muted.
 - THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. I thought I had unmuted myself. Yes, we'll take 15 minutes. Thank you.
 - MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.
 - THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 15minute recess until 11:21 a.m. Please mute your mike and turn off your video. Thank you.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

MARK SIEBEN, a witness, recalled.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

CHRISTINA DAWKINS, a witness, recalled.

MEGAN HARRIS, a witness, recalled.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is now resumed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McGowan.

MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. Madam Registrar, if we could please have page 4 of Exhibit 46 displayed again. That's the January 30th AML strategy.

EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN, continuing:

I'd like to ask you some questions, Ms. Harris, about some of the items noted in the bottom box, Threats and Challenges to Achieving Future State.

Do I take it this this box sets out some of the challenges or barriers that exist and may cause difficulty getting from the bottom of that pyramid to the top of it?

MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.

- Q And the first one, which is bolded -- do I take that that's some indication of particular importance or particular difficulty that arises from this?
- MS. HARRIS: When we developed this, we noted that those three would probably be the largest challenges. It's important to note that we wrote quite a lot within a small box, and so we wanted to bring attention to that.
- Q Okay. So one of the items that received the bold designation is: "Money laundering is not a priority for all provincial ministries." What ministries has it not been a priority for such that it's caused a challenge to tackling money laundering?
- MS. HARRIS: So I should clarify. Like I mentioned, this is a large amount that was written to a very small line.
- Q Okay.
- MS. HARRIS: So really that should read: Money laundering is not a top priority for all

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- provincial ministries. It is a priority for government and it -- as noted by the request for an inquiry. To take as an example, the Ministry of Health. Money laundering would not be a top priority for the Ministry of Health, as an example.
- Q How would money laundering not being a priority for the Ministry of Health be one of your greatest challenges in achieving your desired future state?
- MS. HARRIS: Our objective is to ensure that we bring all those within government that it's relative, as needed, together. The Ministry of Health may at some point be one of those stakeholders that need to be brought within the conversations, and especially in the time period we're in, as an example. The Ministry of Health has other larger priorities, and so there would be a challenge in timing and resources to bring folks together. That's one example.
- Q Right. It's absolutely understandable that in the current state, the Ministry of Health has other priorities. But this document was drafted long before the current state, and the first bullet has identified as one of the three most significant challenges in achieving future state, and that is money laundering is not a priority for all provincial ministries. Does this not relate to a ministry that's got a more significant stake in the money laundering response?
- MR. SIEBEN: Perhaps I'll offer a perspective, Mr. McGowan.
- Q Please.
- MR. SIEBEN: It is not my view, nor do I believe it is the deputy minister committee's view, that money laundering is not regarded as a high enough priority within the ministries with a particular sort of interest and relevance and impact. It is the case that, even within my own ministry simultaneously, we must balance a number of competing priorities. So if you'll allow me, I'll note that it is often the case that we have a number of number one priorities at the same time, and that is the challenge associated with government, is how best to address each of them to the degree that it's necessary in order to

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

effect positive change.

What I took from the statement that is bolded here, when it was brought to the committee, is, as Ms. Harris indicated, that money laundering isn't necessarily a consistent or a high priority amongst all ministries. is relevant to the extent that all ministries are bringing for our government's consideration all of their priorities. So therefore, when the Ministry of Attorney General supported by the Ministry of Finance and Public Safety and Solicitor General brings a strategy or an activity associated with combating money laundering, the central government is also considering priorities that are brought to it from -- whether it's the Ministry of Health, Education, or otherwise. And then government is going to have to choose to a certain extent about how best to apportion its finite resources in order to address those all very valid and to some degree competing priorities. That was my take on the bullet that's provided there.

- Q Thank you. Down a couple of bullets, one of the challenges identified is the "inability to influence or leverage certain sectors, agencies, or organizations." What does that speak to, Ms. Harris?
- MS. HARRIS: That's a challenge that we feel that we may face, the possibility of not having a relationship with or an already pre-established relationship with some of those agencies or organizations. So the challenge would be the education and introduction of the topic to them, and educating them for how they would come on and join and come on board to support us with this endeavour.
- Q Okay. Can you give me an example of some of the agencies or organizations that bullet speaks to?
- MS. HARRIS: As an example, an industry that's not regulated is the art dealership -- art dealers. That would be one area that we'd have to explore further.
- Q Okay. The next bullet: "Access to capital is not readily available for tools and training needed to identify, prevent and enforce AML efforts." Is this speaking to insufficient funds being allocated by government to address these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

issues?

- MS. HARRIS: I think Mr. Sieben might be best to answer that question.
- MR. SIEBEN: I think that could be a valid point, that more could be done with more resources. also interpret this bullet to reference that the criminal economy is extremely nimble and it can move quickly and it can reprofile revenues relatively quickly in comparison to how government allocates funds. So I would see it as both a desire through the strategy for us to be able to access increased resources in order to pursue the strategy's objectives, while at the same time recognizing that the criminal activity that's generating revenue, and the revenue itself, changes fluently and it is to some degree a game of catch-up in order to have the right money and the right strategy at the right time in order to successfully interrupt that.
 - Okay. The next bullet speaks to one of the challenges being a burden on British Columbians and the economy. What does that speak to?
- MS. HARRIS: That is very much representative of that fifth principle that I spoke to earlier and the challenge in ensuring that the impact is as reasonable as possible on both British Columbians and the legitimate economy.
- Q And what sort of -- can you give us an example of a burden on the economy that would be created by the enforcement or introduction of AML measures?
- MS. HARRIS: Absolutely. I'm going to actually -- I'll pass that to Dr. Dawkins to answer.
- Q Thank you.
- DR. DAWKINS: So I could envision a situation in which we had a very extensive registration and reporting requirements made of all members in the economy that would create quite a burden for the regular business. It might allow us to see better where there may be money laundering. But that would be an example where there would be a considerable burden placed on the economy of British Columbians, and so there's a need to recognize that balance.
- Q Thank you. Ms. Harris, the bottom bullet in that column: Current approach within government is fragmented. Siloed regulatory/agency approach to AML. I know we've spoken about silos a bit, but

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

I wonder if you could maybe just take a moment and address the concern that is articulated by this bullet. I'll invite both you and Mr. Sieben to weigh in on this issue.

- MS. HARRIS: From a working group's perspective, this very much spoke to each regulator and each ministry approaching actions for anti-money laundering in their own individual way and in their own capacity, and we needed to ensure that we brought a cohesive plan together. So the challenge of all groups currently undertaking their own work and transitioning to one that was more collaborative and cohesive is a big step. And I recognize it's under threats and challenges and it seems quite negative. But it's just a challenge. It's a change almost like a change management way of thinking and bringing it together and working on something together.
- And the next -- oh, sorry. Mr. Sieben. Okay. MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. I agree with Ms. Harris's description. I would go further and say that, to a large degree, all of these threats and challenges and specifically the one that we're speaking to now can also be reprofiled as opportunities for improvement. I would also note that the statement is -- I would not regard as I would take it as a true in the absolute. general statement reflective of the past and, to a large degree, still where we currently are. That is not to say that there are not existing examples of integration and information sharing and best practices. However, it is the strategy's vision and our desire to facilitate increased integration and a more holistic response amongst all of the interested regulators and government to combat money laundering.
- Q Great, thank you. Ms. Harris, halfway down the next column, bullet point: "Changing tech and markets (e.g. Cryptocurrency)." What has the working group identified as the challenge there and what's been undertaken to investigate and address that, the challenge posed by cryptocurrencies and the like?
- MS. HARRIS: This challenge very much speaks to the unknown and everything -- the technology that we are not familiar with and quickly -- quickly emerging -- new and emerging technology and what

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

is to come in the future. And it is the challenge of keeping up and understanding how that would impact and how it would be incorporated into something like the criminal economy. It is noted in one of the strategies specifically. If you give me a moment, I could actually point out to you where it's actually located. In strategy or action 1.2.1b, we note that we need to undertake an analysis of cryptocurrency activities and the potential vulnerabilities in this emerging area. So cryptocurrency specifically was one area identified, but it really needs to speak to all future and emerging technology.

- Q Great, thank you. Top of the next column: an inability to influence federal AML priorities. Does that speak to a perception on the part of the working group that AML was not a high enough priority on the part of the federal government?
- MS. HARRIS: I don't think -- I wouldn't say that that's a perspective of the working group. The challenge is working with any stakeholder and influencing their priorities. And each of the individual organizations sets their own priorities. It is a matter of -- or an action that would be undertaken within the strategy to work with groups like the federal government in coming together and encouraging this to continue as a priority, or to be made as a priority. And so it's a fear, I guess you could say, of the inability. But it's a challenge, and like Mr. Sieben said, it's definitely an opportunity to have ongoing conversations.
- MR. SIEBEN: May I offer further comment there, Mr. McGowan.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Certainly.
- MR. SIEBEN: I would go a little bit further than Ms. Harris. I would reflect, again, that it is consistent with Dr. German's findings. However, not unique to this area, that there is perhaps not a surprising ongoing dialogue between the provincial government and the federal government in areas of shared responsibility, particularly relating to law enforcement. And there are specific law enforcement resources in the province that are intended to be focused on provincial activity and provincial priorities,

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

and then there is a mandate and jurisdiction that's almost exclusively federal, and then some that are shared. And it is a point of conversation between the levels of government as to how the provinces and our province in particular might see a stronger presence of federal resources that might be allocated specific to an area such as money laundering, and particularly here in British Columbia. So I think that's fair enough from a provincial context for us to state.

- MS. HARRIS: Mr. McGowan, it's also important to note, again, this was created in September of last year, and since then we've -- at a staff level I've had several conversations and have been involved in a number of conversations with federal counterparts and provincial counterparts on the areas and concentration of anti-money laundering. So this one challenge has created a great opportunity that's already been under way.
- Q Mr. Sieben, has the provincial government made approaches to the federal government in an effort to move AML measures and the addressing of the issues that flow from money laundering up their priority list and to encourage an allocation of increased resources in this province to that issue?
- MR. SIEBEN: I believe there's been public statements from the Attorney General directed towards his federal counterparts that more federal resources in this area would be welcome.
- Q And Ms. Harris, just moving to the bottom of the far right column, one of the challenges identified is insufficient funding and tight timelines to deliver. Does that challenge persist presently?
- MS. HARRIS: There is always funding challenges as priorities need to be balanced across government as a whole and timelines to deliver. We've set a challenging timeline of the majority of this being within four years. So that is a challenge but one that we seek to be successful in. But insufficient funding is always a threat for government. Like I mentioned, priorities need to be based across all priorities of government.
- Q Right. Do you at present have -- has sufficient funding been allocated for you to put in place

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the measures that have been identified in the AML strategy?

- MS. HARRIS: The strategy is just starting and is just getting under way. And all actions within the strategy that would require additional funding, we would need to seek appropriate approvals to do that. Those would be taking place in the future.
- Q Thank you. Mr. Sieben, I just want to ask you about one statement that's made a little bit later in the document. We discussed this when we met before, so I'll just read it to you to bring you back to it.

The document says:

We believe that our greatest opportunity to disrupt money laundering activities, including returns on investment derived from those activities, is through the detection, deterrence, and prevention of the illicit behaviour.

Does the illicit behaviour in that statement refer to the predicate offences? Is that what's being discussed as the greatest opportunity is disruption of those?

- MR. SIEBEN: I would agree with your suggestion, Mr. McGowan, yes.
- Q Okay. I can sort of appreciate the logic of that if we're dealing with proceeds generated from, for example, drug dealing in the Downtown East Side or some other community within British Columbia. But how does disruption of predicate offences as the strategy work if we're dealing with proceeds that have been injected into the provincial economy from offences committed outside our jurisdiction?
- MR. SIEBEN: You are -- I would agree with your somewhat rhetorical question, perhaps, Mr. McGowan. Even if we were to have complete confidence that within the provincial sort of boundaries, we had increasing success in disrupting sort of precedent offences -- drug trade as a primary example -- it wouldn't eliminate money laundering. B.C. would likely continue to be a desirable place for those with proceeds from crime to come and sort of realize those proceeds in a way that would be less

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

traceable.

- Q Ms. Harris, you told us that the AML Deputy Ministers Committee, minutes are created of those meetings, and I understand that there's also agendas created for the purpose of planning for those meetings?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- Those are prepared by the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to propose -- I have a -- and we're provided our document management staff with a collection of agendas and a collection of minutes. And what I'm going to do is propose that the agendas and the minutes each be marked collectively as two separate exhibits. And speaking first to the agendas, we've provided the document management staff and participants with 18 agendas for the monthly meetings commencing on September 18th 2018, and concluding on February 11th, 2020. I'm going to suggest that those 18 agendas be marked collectively as the next exhibit, if that's agreeable.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Those 18 agendas will be marked collectively as Exhibit 47.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 47.

EXHIBIT 47: Agendas for the meetings of Deputy Ministers Committee on Anti-Money

Laundering MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. And we've been to date not

provided with a complete set of minutes but we have been provided with minutes for a number of meetings, 11 of them. And we'll just read out the dates quickly: September 18th, 2018; October 16th, 2018; October 25th, 2019; November 20th, 2018; December 13th, 2018; January 14th, 2019; February 20th, 2019; March 19th, 2019; May 2nd, 2019; June 18th, 2019; and August 20th, 2019. And I'm going to suggest that that collection of 11 sets of minutes be marked collectively as the next exhibit. Madam Registrar, which exhibit would that be?

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 48.

Is that agreeable, Mr. Commissioner? MR. MCGOWAN:

47 THE COMMISSIONER: It is.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

EXHIBIT 48: Minutes of meetings of Deputy Ministers Committee on Anti-Money Laundering

MR. MCGOWAN: I may come back to some of those later on, but I don't require any of them to be

- displayed at this point.

 Ms. Harris, you've told us about working with
 Deloitte and Sir Robert Wainwright to obtain some
 advice which informed the drafting of the
 strategy; is that right?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- Q And one of the things that Deloitte's did for your group was to prepare a document titled "Government of British Columbia AML Jurisdictional Scan"; is that correct?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Dated September 16th, 2019. I wonder if we might have that document displayed, please.
- Q This is the document we've been speaking of, Ms. Harris?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. That's the right one.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 49.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 49.

EXHIBIT 49: Deloitte, Government of British Columbia Anti-Money Laundering Jurisdictional Scan

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

- Ms. Harris, I gather what this document did was look at the British Columbia and Canadian landscape as against certain other jurisdictions with a view to identifying barriers and opportunities, a bit of a comparative piece; is that right?
- MS. HARRIS: It was an opportunity for -- the request was for Deloitte to look at other jurisdictions with similar constitutions as our own, to understand the activities undertaken in those jurisdictions and if they'd been in existence, the results and impact that the actions taken

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

have had to date.

- Q I'm going to ask you about a couple of points identified in this document.
- MR. MCGOWAN: And if we could have page 3 of the document -- I'm looking at the number in the bottom left corner on the page, not the PDF number.
- So this is the executive summary. And Mr. Sieben, maybe I'll ask you first about point 6 in the executive summary. The author has identified that:

In certain countries (e.g. U.S.) law enforcement can access information from the FIU, whereas in other jurisdictions (e.g. Canada) law enforcement does not have direct access.

Is it your understanding that that continues to be the case, that for law enforcement in British Columbia investigating proceeds or AML cases, they do not have direct access to financial intelligence gathered, for example, by FINTRAC?

- MR. SIEBEN: That's my general understanding -- this document -- I don't know whether you have any comment to add there.
- DR. DAWKINS: The Province has introduced legislation to create a registry of beneficial owners of land in British Columbia, and the intention is that law enforcement will have access to that database. But I would not characterize that as a financial intelligence unit.
- Q Mr. Sieben, has the Province made an approach to the federal government with a view to exploring the possibility of law enforcement in British Columbia obtaining direct access to financial intelligence information?
- MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. I would think Ms. Harris is probably best positioned to provide more up-to-date comment on where those discussions are at. Q Thank you.
- MS. HARRIS: Mr. McGowan, there have been conversations -- federal/provincial level conversations around real estate, and there is working group comprised of staff from a number of organizations, and it is chaired by Dr. Dawkins. She can speak more specifically to that. But

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- there are ongoing conversations at those meetings that speak to information sharing and both the challenges and opportunities we have to that regard.
- But I'm speaking specifically to whether there have been discussions about the prospect of law enforcement in British Columbia obtaining direct access to information -- financial intelligence information gathered -- collected, pardon me, by, for example, FINTRAC.
- MS. HARRIS: I can't speak specifically to the conversations that law enforcement has had with FINTRAC. I can state that those groups are around the table and at the staff level. I don't know the specifics of conversations, though, that they've had between them.
- Q Okay.
- MS. HARRIS: I'm not necessarily part of the conversations that are sub-groups within that federal-provincial group. I have not been involved in those conversations.
- O Thank you.
- MR. SIEBEN: I am not able to confirm that for you either, Mr. McGowan.
- Q Thank you, Mr. Sieben. Point 7:

Access to information and privacy considerations often limit the ability for supervisory authorities and law enforcement to share information with each other both within and across jurisdictions.

Has the working group or the committee undertaken any analysis of how they might respond to this concern?

- MS. HARRIS: The working group has spoken, as I mentioned, in great length about the privacy concerns and actions that are required to understand what limitations there are. That is within the strategy as an action that needs to be undertaken, and that has not occurred as we're just starting.
- Q Okay, thank you. The next point 8:

A review of various sub-national jurisdictions, in particular the province of Quebec and the states/territories in both

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

Australia and United States show that some have developed their state legislation to include money laundering offences.

Has the Province given consideration to introducing legislation that would create provincial offences centred around money laundering or proceeds of crime?

- MS. HARRIS: From my perspective, I can't -- I have not been involved in those conversations. If any have taken place, I'm not aware. I'd have to pass that to Mr. Sieben.
- Q Mr. Sieben.
- MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. I would -- thank you. I would offer -- my response to this point would be, not at this time. Again, while money laundering has been a challenge in British Columbia for a long period of time, our sort of focused effort, as articulated through the strategy, is relatively young and is looking to mature. And to some degree, there would be discussion relating to what would be an appropriate sort of federal presence as opposed to provincial and the extent to which provincial legislation could be effective. So while there have been some discussions pertaining to those central issues, there has not been a decision at this point to develop money laundering specific to a provincial context. But I would think our committee would look forward to advice that might be offered in order to assist this conversation to continue to grow.
 - Q Thank you.
- MS. HARRIS: Mr. McGowan -- sorry, Mr. McGowan. It's important to note that within this jurisdictional scan, it's clear that much of the action taken in other jurisdictions is at a federal level. And even when it comes to Australia at the state level, it's still very much governed federally. So provincial -- it's difficult to have comparisons at a provincial -- for a provincial response. It has not been done in many places, and I'm not familiar with where that is. But it would be -- action like this would be very unique.
- DR. DAWKINS: If I could offer a comment, Mr. McGowan. Q Please, Dr. Dawkins.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- DR. DAWKINS: Paragraph 8 makes references to unexplained wealth order legislation in Australia, and the introduction of unexplained wealth orders was one the recommendations that came from the Maloney report, the recommendation that British Columbia introduce legislation related to unexplained wealth orders. point out that, as with all the recommendations of the Maloney report, staff in the Ministry of Finance are looking at this option. very early stage and I would not characterize this as saying government is introducing legislation. I would say that simply it is on the radar of staff in the Ministry of Finance to look at as an option.
- Q Thank you, Dr. Dawkins. I do intend to come back and ask you a few questions about the prospect of unexplained wealth orders and the work that's been undertaken to consider that. So thank you for raising that.

Flipping over to the next page in point 20 at the bottom of the page:

Additionally the UK continues to push the envelope through national and initiatives such as the JMLIT (agreement between law enforcement, the regulator, and over 30 UK and international financial institutions to exchange and analyze information and intelligence).

We heard a little bit from an earlier witness about this undertaking whereby law enforcement and financial institutions and others are sharing information in a way that would have been offside until recently, and having some success in identifying and combating money laundering with this sharing of information.

Ms. Harris, is the committee familiar with the JMLIT and have you considered the benefits that might be gained in British Columbia by increased information sharing between industry stakeholders and law enforcement?

MS. HARRIS: I'm not familiar with JMLIT beyond what is in this document. But I know that it is the perspective of the working group that information sharing and the ability to do so successfully is

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

critical for the success of any anti-money laundering strategy for the province.

Ok -- Moving forward to page 7 of the document, paragraph 36, the Deloitte authors had the following to say:

Canada has become perceived as a jurisdiction which provides offshore benefits (i.e. less effective [money laundering/terrorist financing] controls to deter the integration of dirty money into its markets) without traditional offshore drawbacks (e.g. volatile financial systems. A key driver of this problem is inadequate legislation, regulation, and oversight of legal entities, which allows criminals to take advantage of non-existent disclosure requirements relating to the beneficial owners of corporations and trusts. This allows companies to abuse the corporate veil and shield the true controlling interest These reportedly lax behind the entity. corporate laws have made Canada a popular destination for the establishment of shell companies with figurehead directors for the purpose of tax evasion.

Maybe, Dr. Dawkins, I'll ask you what, if anything, the Province has undertaken to address the issue of opaque corporate ownership.

DR. DAWKINS: So I can speak to two measures. We have the issue of corporate ownership of land, and the Province has made considerable strides in that regard. Last spring, in spring 2019, the government introduced the Land Owner Transparency Act, which will require the corporations and trusts and partnerships, the reporting entities, to disclose who the beneficial owners of land are in British Columbia. That legislation has not yet come into effect. The registry is expected to launch later in 2020. And that will give government a good picture of who owns land in British Columbia.

The other initiative relates to both a recommendation of the Maloney report that government -- I forget the exact number of the recommendation, the phrasing of it, but that

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

government consider developing a publicly accessible registry of beneficial owners of corporations. And that recommendation is consistent with the federal/provincial initiative to look at creating such registries across the country. So earlier this year in -- I think it was maybe in February of 2020, the provincial government released a public consultation document on the possibility of creating such a registry in British Columbia. The public comments period for that public consultation ended on April 30th. And I understand or I know that the federal government also released a consultation paper dealing with many of the same issues, and that paper had its consultation period close on April 30th.

So we are now in the process of -- the provincial government and my team are now in the process of consolidating the feedback from that consultation process and identifying and analyzing some of the options that came out of that process, so that we can then later make recommendations to the DMC and to the Minister of Finance and to the government ultimately around the creation of such a publicly accessible registry.

Q Thank you, Dr. Dawkins. I'll come to that consultation paper in a few questions about it later. But thank you for introducing those two initiatives. And they may relate to the next paragraph I'd like to highlight as well, paragraph 41 on the next page. If we could move forward one page, please. Yes.

As the gateway to the Pacific, British Columbia is a prime geographic location to benefit from commerce, banking, and tourism emanating from Asia. With a significant volume of trade of foreign capital and assets, and a robust underground economy, Greater Vancouver has a high risk of being used to launder the proceeds of crime. This is evident in the fact that unfinanced or cash purchases, comprise 17 to 21 percent of residential transactions in B.C. The discord between legal and beneficial owners means that the identification of properties

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

by foreign owners is highly underestimated.

Dr. Dawkins, maybe I'll just ask you, you've spoken about the beneficial ownership registry that is being introduced with respect to land ownership, corporate ownership of land in British Columbia. Has the provincial government undertaken any other initiatives to gain insight into the degree to which property in British Columbia is owned through corporations by foreign owners?

- DR. DAWKINS: To my knowledge, the government has introduced some reporting requirements on the property transfer tax form. But that is an area that is not my area of specialty right now. That would be something that would be better addressed to staff in the Tax Policy Branch. But my understanding is that those measures also are getting to beneficial owners of land.
- Q Okay. Ms. Harris, do you have anything you can add to that?
- MS. HARRIS: No, unfortunately I don't have expertise. Q All right. Well, let me ask you about the next portion of that paragraph:

Another unregulated aspect of financial flows in British Columbia derives from a lack of external reporting of the source of funds that flow into lawyers' trust accounts.

Is that an issue that the working group or the committee has considered, and are there any options under consideration for addressing the gaps that exist because of the lack of reporting, the intelligence gaps?

- MS. HARRIS: The working group did see this as an area that needed further analysis, and it is identified in the strategy as an item to engage with the Law Society and have -- open those conversations with the Law Society. And again, ongoing recommendation that Dr. German suggested was also consideration of new reporting entities under the federal act.
- Q Okay.
- DR. DAWKINS: Mr. McGowan, could I just return to a previous answer that I gave in respect of

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

paragraph 36?

Q Please.

- DR. DAWKINS: One of the other measures that the Province has undertaken is the requirement that companies keep within their own records offices a register of beneficial owners of their corporations. This was legislation that was passed in the spring of 2019 and was initially expected to come into force on May 1st of 2020, but because of the COVID pandemic has now been delayed to October 1st of 2020. So I just wanted to complete my answer to that earlier question.
- Yes. Thank you for that. Now, those records are held at the company's records office, but are they available in any searchable way either for law enforcement or the public?
- DR. DAWKINS: The public does not have access to those records but law enforcement will have access to the records.
- Thank you. Ms. Harris, I just wanted to return Q to the issue that we were just speaking of, and that is the lack of reporting with respect to source of funds that flow into a lawyer's trust account. Many real estate and other transactions in this province involve the use of a lawyer. And I'm sure you're aware of criticisms that we in this province and this country have faced relating to solicitor-client privilege standing in the way of lawyers reporting suspicious transactions. Can you assist with whether the provincial government has engaged with the federal government to a view to introducing legislation that might try to revisit that legislation that didn't make its way through the Federation of Law Societies case in an attempt to require reporting?
- MS. HARRIS: I'm not familiar with the conversation. That's not to say that it hasn't happened. I'm just not familiar with that.
- Q Mr. Sieben, can you offer any insight into that issue?
- MR. SIEBEN: No. I would anticipate that we would need to inquire through appropriate staff at the Ministry of Attorney General.
- Q Paragraph 47 notes that:

Québec has also taken steps to improve their

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

AML framework by requiring the registration of Money-Services Business...

And I wanted to ask Ms. Harris whether the Province has undertaken any work with respect to the registration or otherwise in respect of money services businesses and the risk that they may present.

- MS. HARRIS: This was also a recommendation from Dr. German's 2018 report, and I know that it also was discussed in the later two expert reports. I will pass it over to Dr. Dawkins to explain the work that has been undertaken to date.
- Q All right, thank you.
- DR. DAWKINS: Again, earlier this year -- and I forget. I apologize. I forget the date -- the provincial government released a public consultation paper around the possibility of regulating money services businesses, and that consultation -- the pubic consultation ended, again, also on April 30th. And again, the staff in my area are in the process of collecting that information and analyzing it and reaching out to other stakeholders to obtain a more fulsome view of some of the issues and considerations around the possibility of introducing a regulatory framework for money services businesses in British Columbia.
- Thank you. I want to turn now, Dr. Dawkins to -you have introduced the topic of a beneficial
 ownership registry for land in the province. I
 would like to ask you a few questions about that
 and some of the documents we've been provided
 that relate to it.
 - I gather this registry arises out of a piece of legislation, the *Land Ownership Transparency* Act ?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- Q Sometimes it's referred to as LOTA?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- Q I wonder if you might just in very brief form introduce to the Commissioner what that piece of legislation seeks to accomplish.
- DR. DAWKINS: So that piece of legislation attempts to give a more complete picture to government and to the public of who owns the land in British Columbia, who the real owners of the land are,

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

not just the legal owners of land. That is what it is intended to accomplish.

- And what's the status of that legislation? DR. DAWKINS: So the legislation has received Royal The legislation will be administered by Assent. the Land Title and Survey Authority. The Land Title and Survey Authority is in the process of developing the systems to implement the registry. The legislation will be brought into force by regulation. But before it will be brought into force by regulation, there are some technical amendments to the legislation that have been introduced into the legislature and that have received second reading. They have not yet gone to committee. And those are simply technical amendments that have arisen throughout the process of the Land Title and Survey Authority developing the systems to administer the LOTA. And these were simply technical amendments that allow that process to be completed.
- Will any of the amendments impact on what has to be reported in respect of beneficial ownership or who will have access to that information?
- DR. DAWKINS: I don't believe it does. I don't believe they do. However, I would have to look again closely at the wording of the amendments, and from there see if there's any way I could draw a link to how it might affect who has to report or who can -- or what information is in the registry.
- Q Okay. Just speaking generally, who has to report beneficial ownership of land?
- DR. DAWKINS: So there are -- the legislation defines reporting entities, and those are -- those are corporations, trusts, certain trusts, and partnerships, and those entities have to report their beneficial owners under three circumstances. The first circumstance is when there is transfer of legal title. At the time that legal title is transferred, they must -- those reporting entities must file a transparency report that sets out who the beneficial owners are -- who their beneficial owners are. The second instance is the instance in which the beneficial owners of the reporting entity change, when there's a significant change to the beneficial owners of the reporting entity. And

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the third instance is that all existing reporting entities who currently own land, will also have to file who their beneficial owners are.

I should point out there are some exceptions to who the reporting entities are. It's not all corporations and it's not — it's not all corporations, but generally speaking it is private corporations. And I would refer you to the legislation to point out who the exceptions are.

- Q If I were to buy a house through a nominee, an individual, a friend or a relative, but maintain the beneficial ownership, would that have to be disclosed pursuant to the legislation?
- DR. DAWKINS: My understanding is that yes, it would. However, my area in government is responsible for drafting the legislation. The administration of the legislation and the interpretation of the legislation is given over to the administrators of the statute, and that would in this case be the Land Title and Survey Authority.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Madam Registrar, I wonder if we could have a briefing document brought up, please, titled "Threshold for reporting beneficial ownership through companies under the proposed Land Ownership Transparency Act." It's 15A in my materials, if that assists.
- Yes, Dr. Dawkins, maybe I'll just ask you in very brief to explain what a briefing document does and what the purpose of it is.
- DR. DAWKINS: A briefing document can have a number of It can be for information or it can be purposes. for decision. And it's a document that's provided to usually the minister for his or her decision. So the document sets out an issue. sets out a discussion around some of the policy issues related to the issue. It provides options and some evaluation of those options, and usually a recommendation. And it goes to the minister or to the decision-making authority for decision. Most briefing notes are prepared for the minister, but there are instances in which we might prepare a briefing note for the deputy minister. Some of the notes are for information rather than for decision. But it is a mechanism for providing a fairly succinct framework for senior officials -- for the politicians and the

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- senior officials of government to make a decision -- canvass the issue and make a decision.
 - Q Thank you. And this particular briefing note relates to the issue of what the threshold for disclosure of ownership should be, correct?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
 - And what that relates to is how much of a company does somebody have to own before the company is required to disclose their beneficial ownership --
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
 - Q -- under the Land Ownership Transparency Act?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
 - Q And there were several options set forth in this. But am I correct that the option that was recommended and the option that was ultimately approved was that the threshold would be set at 10 percent?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
 - Q And that's ultimately the threshold that was introduced through the legislation?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Yes.
 - Q So if there was a corporation or a company that had 11 equal owners, each owning 1/11th, purchasing property -- 11 foreign owners purchasing property through a British Columbia company, the company would not be required to disclose the beneficial owners; is that correct?
 - DR. DAWKINS: It is essentially correct. However, my understanding is that the threshold does not relate simply to proportion of ownership. It also has some measure of control. So if, say, two of those owners were somehow able to control in such a way that their proportion was greater than 10 percent, then they would be required to disclose. However, as I say, I'm not the technical expert in the interpretation of this legislation. That would be -- there would be technically how that would work.
 - Q Okay. Well, maybe I'll invite Ms. Harris to weigh in on my next question, and that is, Ms. Harris, either your strategy identifies that one of the challenges is that there's a smart and nimble criminal economy. Is there not a concern that with a threshold at 10 percent, those seeking to obscure their ownership of property

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

will not simply adopt ownership structures with enough people involved that they own less than 10 percent on the records of the corporation in order to obscure their ownership?

- MS. HARRIS: The way you describe it, yes, that would be a concern. I would hope that within the actions taken within the strategy, we would -- the working group and the Province would be able to determine a process that would disrupt that type of behaviour.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I think we're at Exhibit 49 now.
- THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 50.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. We'll make it Exhibit 50 then.

EXHIBIT 50: Briefing Document - February 25, 2018 - Threshold for reporting beneficial ownership through companies under the proposed Land Ownership Transparency Act

- MR. MCGOWAN: If we could next have, Madam Registrar, a briefing document entitled "Final Structure of the Land Ownership Transparency Act."
- Q Yes, and this is another briefing document, Dr. Dawkins, that speaks to the structure and seeks approval of a proposed structure; is that correct?
- DR. DAWKINS: Yes, it is.
- Q And the structure proposed in this document was ultimately adopted in large part?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If we could go to page 4 of the document, please.
- Q I don't see it displayed any more, but I'm not sure that's important. I'll just read out under the decision, which is approved. It states: "A launch date of Fall 2020 for the registry." I wanted to ask you, Dr. Dawkins, is that still the intended launch date or has that been pushed back?
- DR. DAWKINS: The launch date will -- the registry will be brought into force by -- the legislation will be brought into force by regulation, and that regulation has not yet been passed. So what I can speak to is what my understanding of what

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the process will be.

So the registry will be launched in two phases. So the first phase would allow for beneficial owners -- information about beneficial owners to be entered into the registry, and then the second phase, the final phase would allow for search provisions. It would not be sensible to have search provisions come into force at the same point that information is collected in the database because until there is information in the database, there's nothing to search. So at the time this note was prepared, I believe the launch date for the fall of 2020 made reference to the full launch of the LOTA registry, so that would include both the filing and the search provisions, where the filing provisions were expected to come into force in spring of 2020. However, with the COVID crisis, the expectation now is that the filing provisions of the registry will come into effect in fall of 2020 and then the search provisions will follow a few months later. But that's my expectation.

- MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to ask that this document be the next exhibit. But just before I do, I'll note that this document and the previous one I entered appear to have a phone number and an email address on the cover, and I'm going to suggest that we enter them as exhibits but with the direction from you that prior to those being posted on the website, the email address and phone numbers be redacted.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. It will be marked as Exhibit 50 and I will make that direction, that the phone number and the email be redacted.
- THE REGISTAR: Mr. Commissioner, it will be Exhibit 51.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I think that's what I said, isn't it? Or -- I'm sorry. 51, and the email and the telephone number will be redacted. Thank you.

EXHIBIT 51: Briefing document - February 26, 2019 - Final Structure of the Land Owner Transparency Act (LOTA)

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If we could next have the document "Summary of Land Ownership Transparency Act legislation and registry."

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

Q Dr. Dawkins, this was a document created for the purpose of briefing the premier's office on the legislation, providing them with an overview?

DR. DAWKINS: Correct.

MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 52. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 52.

 $\overline{\text{EXHIBIT 52}}$: Briefing document- March 13, $\overline{\text{2019}}$ - Summary of Land Owner Transparency Act (LOTA) legislation and registry

MR. MCGOWAN: And the next document I'd like displayed, please, is a briefing. And Mr. Commissioner, maybe we could just -- all of these briefing notes have telephone numbers and email addresses. If you could just indicate that those ought to be redacted before they're posted.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I so order.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

- Q This document put forward options and recommendations with respect to the effective date of the beneficial ownership register. And ultimately, the option that was approved was implement the transparency register legislation six months after indirect control definition is established in the regulation. Is that correct?
- DR. DAWKINS: That is correct.
- Q I wonder if you could just explain to the Commissioner what that means.
- DR. DAWKINS: So the regulation -- the regulation to the Act brings into effect a number of provisions that are allowed for -- that are allowed to be introduced by regulation within the Act. of the features is something called the indirect control rules, which set out how -- how that 25-percent threshold that is the threshold for requiring the record of beneficial owners -- how that is calculated in the case where one of the beneficial owners -- or one of the owners is a corporation and then that corporation owns other corporations, and there's a cascading effect where the actual control of the final corporation is -- is unclear and there's a cascading effect. So it's quite a complicated area and there are some very complicated rules for how that

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

25-percent threshold should be met, and this regulation sets out those rules.

Because the rules are quite complicated, the recommendation was made that those rules be made public by virtue of the regulation being published, and that corporations would then have some time to understand what those rules were before they had to comply with those rules. And that is why there was a delay of six months recommended between the passing of the regulation and the effective date of this requirement.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 53.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 53.

EXHIBIT 53: Briefing Document - May 31, 2019 - Effective Date of Beneficial Owner Transparency Register

- MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If we could next have the briefing document, "Proposed Changes to the Land Ownership Transparency Act (LOTA). It's 15I in my materials.
- Q This is a briefing document, Dr. Dawkins, I gather, that was seeking direction from the responsible minister, the Honourable Carole James, on issues including public access to certain information and enforcement compliance measures?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- Q And one of the things I understand from the legislation is that the public will have access to some information but not all of the information that's gathered not all of the information that law enforcement has access to. Is that a fair --
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- Q -- understanding?
- DR. DAWKINS: Yes.
 - Q So the public will have access to the names of the beneficial owners, correct?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
 - But there will be certain identifying information which they don't have access to; is that also correct?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- Q And am I also correct that the legislation does not create for each individual a unique identifying number or other means of identification?
 - DR. DAWKINS: I -- some of the information that is required to be submitted to the registry would be things like social insurance numbers and, I believe, business numbers, and those would be unique identifiers for those beneficial owners. However -- however -- yes. But however, that would only be accessible by law enforcement and other competent...
- Q Okay. So the unique identifying information such as social insurance numbers is only accessible by law enforcement. How is the public or, for example, a financial institution that's doing due diligence to distinguish between individuals with similar or the same names?
- DR. DAWKINS: I do not have an answer to that question. I think that question would be better directed to technical experts.
- To your knowledge from being involved in the drafting of the legislation, does it provide for any unique identifying information to be accessible by the public or other institutions who are not law enforcement?
- DR. DAWKINS: I'm sorry, I don't know the answer to that question.
- Q Thank you. And I'm just going to ask you about one other aspect, and that is compliance verification and enforcement because it strikes me that there will be those that will not be keen to comply with the disclosure of their beneficial ownership and may be tempted to falsify the records or be less than forthright in their disclosure. What resources have been identified or allocated for the purpose of verifying disclosures and enforcing against non-disclosure or fraudulent disclosure?
- DR. DAWKINS: I can say that the legislation allows for something called an enforcement officer, who will have responsibility for enforcing the Act -- for enforcing elements of the Act. The enforcement officer will also have the ability to impose penalties. The Act provides for penalties for offences as well. But these questions -- the precise mechanism and how it will be funded --

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

those are questions that would go to the 2 administrator. My area has responsibility for 3 creating the legislation and does not -- is not 4 part of the administration of the Act. 5 Thank you. And the decision that was taken by 0 6 way of this briefing note with respect to 7 penalties or verification or enforcement measures 8 was to have the Act provide for the requirement 9 for affidavits, financial penalties, and the 10 imposition of a lien against the property, but to 11 not provide for the potential for imprisonment as 12 a penalty for non- or misleading disclosure. 13 that fair? 14 DR. DAWKINS: Correct. 15 MR. MCGOWAN: If this document could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner. 16 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 54. 18 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 54. 19 20 EXHIBIT 54: Briefing Document- November 27, 21 2018 - Proposed Changes to the Land Owner 22 Transparency Act (LOTA) 23 24 MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I wonder 25 if this might be a convenient time for a 10-26 minute break. 27 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. McGowan. We'll take 10 minutes. 28 29 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for 10-minute 30 recess until 12:43 p.m. Please mute your mic and 31 turn off your video. Thank you. 32 33 (WITNESSES STOOD DOWN) 34 35 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) 36 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 37 38 MARK SIEBEN, a witness, 39 recalled. 40 41 CHRISTINA DAWKINS, a witness, 42 recalled.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is

recalled.

MEGAN HARRIS, a witness,

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

now resumed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McGowan.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN, continuing:

- Q Dr. Dawkins, I'm just going to stay with you for a few more questions about something you'd raised earlier. One of the initiatives you indicated was being investigated was the possibility of a public beneficial ownership registry for corporate ownership. I gather that's something that the government is investigating and taking public feedback on?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct. We issued a -- the government issued a public consultation paper and the consultation period ended April 30th.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If we could have that consultation paper displayed, please. It's the January 20th "B.C. Consultation on a Public Beneficial Ownership Registry." There it is.
- Q This is the paper you've spoken of?
- DR. DAWKINS: Correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner. 55, I think.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, 55. Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 55.

EXHIBIT 55: B.C. Consultation on a Public Beneficial Ownership Registry

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

- Q And if we could just, please, go to page 11 of that document. I'm looking at the page number in the bottom right corner. And this document sets out the five items that have been identified in, I believe, the Maloney report as best practices for a beneficial ownership registry. Is that your understanding from looking at the chart?
- DR. DAWKINS: I'm sorry. Could you please repeat the question.
- Q Yes. This chart at the top of page 11 sets out five items that were identified, I believe, in the Maloney report as best practices for a beneficial ownership registry.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- DR. DAWKINS: I believe so. That's what the footnote alludes to.
 - Q Okay. And the first is that information should be maintained about both the beneficial and the legal owner. I gather that's something that's contemplated with the new registry if one is adopted?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Correct. If it's adopted, that would be something that would be contemplated, yes.
 - Q And is the thinking currently that the threshold would be set at the same level as set in the Land? Ownership Transparency Act
 - DR. DAWKINS: The thinking on this particular measure is at its very early stages. And so the ownership threshold is one of those policy issues that would be considered as we move through the process of developing options and recommendations for the Minister on this.
 - Q Okay so has the government landed on its approach to -- aside from number 5, which it has addressed to some extent, has it landed on its a decision or an intended approach on items 2, 3, or 4 in that chart?
 - DR. DAWKINS: As I've mentioned, this is in a very early stages and right now we are canvassing the issues. We have not yet come to government with a request or a recommendation about whether or not government should even adopt such a registry. We're undertaking the preliminary work that would get us to that stage.
 - Q And the feedback that was received in response to this paper, is it intended that that will be reduced into some form of document?
 - DR. DAWKINS: Yes. The intention is that the comments received will be collated into a document and have been collated into a document to be brought to the DMCAML for their information.
 - Q Okay. Has that --
 - DR. DAWKINS: That has not occurred yet.
 - Q Okay. The document does not yet exist?
 - DR. DAWKINS: The document does exist, as of yesterday, I believe, and it is scheduled for the next DMCAML meeting.
 - Q Okay thank you. Ms. Harris, I want to ask a little bit of a few more questions about the deputy minister and secretariat's approach to money laundering concerns in the real estate

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- industry. What is the -- and there's been a number of initiatives undertaken, and we'll hear about some further ones that have been undertaken. But what is the overall objective the committee or the secretariat is hoping to obtain in the real estate industry with the measures they're undertaking -- the AML measures they're undertaking in that sector?
- MS. HARRIS: From a working group's perspective -- high-level perspective is to eliminate illicit funds from the real estate sector.
- Q Is that goal driven by a recognition or an acceptance that in recent years the British Columbia real estate has to a significant degree become a repository for illicit funds that are illicit in origin?
- MS. HARRIS: It comes from a response, an analysis from Dr. Maloney's expert report as well as Dr. German's report. I can't -- that's their evaluation. I can't -- I haven't done the research myself so I can't validate that.
- No. But the secretariat is proposing a path forward. Is that path premised on an acceptance that significant quantities of illicit funds have been parked in British Columbia real estate?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes. It's based on the Maloney report, yes.
- Q Okay. Which I gather the secretariat accepts?
- MS. HARRIS: Correct.
- MR. MCGOWAN: I'd like to, please, have brought up a briefing document, "Federal/Provincial Implications of Expert Panel on Money Laundering in Real Estate."
- THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want the earlier document marked, Mr. McGowan?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. I'm sorry. Which -- did I -- THE REGISTRAR: I believe we have marked that Exhibit 55.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Sorry, we were talking over each other.
 Mr. Commissioner, I believe the "B.C.
 Consultation on Public Beneficial Ownership
 Registry" paper, January 2020, is Exhibit 55.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So that's the most recent document referred to, is it?
- 46 MR. MCGOWAN: Yes.
 - THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- MR. MCGOWAN: And I'm now seeking to go the briefing note I just mentioned, which is -- our internal location is 15E, if that assists.
- Maybe I'll ask you, Dr. Dawkins, about this because you are appear you're noted on the document as the person that initiated it. This is a briefing note for the Honourable Carole James designed to draw to her and government's attention recommendations that require or would benefit from consultation with other provincial governments or the federal government. Is that a fair overview?

DR. DAWKINS: Correct.

Q And what this document does is identify areas where those recommendations would benefit from consultation or cooperation and recommends a course of action in respect of some of those?

DR. DAWKINS: Correct.

Q I'm not going to go through all of them, but I do want to ask you about a couple of them. And I don't know whether you're best placed to tell us whether these recommendations have been adopted and followed up on or not. But I'll ask you, and if you don't know, maybe Ms. Harris or Mr. Sieben can weigh in.

The first is on page 3, Recommendation 13:

The BC Minister of Finance should recommend to her federal counterpart that the *Proceeds* of Crime (Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing Act be amended to add mortgage lenders and mortgage intermediaries to the list of reporting entities...

- Q Do you know whether that request or recommendation has been forwarded to the B.C. minister's federal counterpart?
- DR. DAWKINS: I do not know for certain, but I expect that it has not. I should point out that this briefing note was prepared in anticipation of a federal/provincial/territorial meeting in June of 2019 and was intended to give the Minister of Finance sort of background information about those issues that would have FPT implications in advance of that meeting.
 - And did that meeting take place?
- DR. DAWKINS: Yes.

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- Q And did you have a report back whether the recommended communications took place or did not take place?
- DR. DAWKINS: I attended the meeting. I do not know that the recommendations were -- the recommendations were put forward at that time, no.
- Q Sorry. Is that to say they were not put forward? DR. DAWKINS: I do not recall that they were expressly put forward in this way.
- And does that speak generally to each of the recommendations that spoke to federal/provincial communication?
- DR. DAWKINS: I'm sorry. I don't know the answer to that question.
- Q Okay. Well let me ask you just one more then.

Recommendation 21:

The BC Minister of Finance should recommend to her federal counterpart that FINTRAC collect information in suspicious transaction reports sufficient to analyze the geographic location of those transactions, including both the location within Canada where the transaction occurred and, where suspicious transactions have a foreign component, the countries involved.

And the notation is:

Currently, FINTRAC does not track any information about the geographic location of suspicious activity. If this information were collected, it could be used for statistical analysis that may be useful in identifying geographical trends in suspicious activity.

Do you know if Minister James put forward this recommendation to her federal counterpart at that meeting or otherwise?

- DR. DAWKINS: To my knowledge, she did not.
- Q Do you have any insight into why the recommended communications were not proceeded with?
- DR. DAWKINS: My understanding of the process was the Maloney report was -- there were something like 29 recommendations, and there was a process of

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

prioritizing which ones would be sort of addressed first. And many of these recommendations are simply -- this briefing note simply restates the recommendations to refresh the Minister's -- to refresh the Minister's memory on them. However, before we would want to -- before we -- my area would recommend to the Minister that she put forward these recommendations to the federal minister of finance, my area would want to look at them a little bit more closely and understand -undertake the policy analysis, the due diligence to understand what the recommendations -- what their implications are and to understand what some of the -- yeah, what some of the implications are.

So we have not -- my branch has not undertaken that analysis and nor has it made those recommendations to the minister.

- Q If we could go to the last page of the document, then please. We have a heading here:
 Conclusion: Key messages for the FPT meeting."
 Are these recommendations to the minister of key messages she should be delivering or might want to consider delivering?
- DR. DAWKINS: That is correct.
- Q Okay. So the third bullet point is:

The federal Minister should initiate a review of the PCMLTFA to improve the sharing of FINTRAC information. Additionally, it is important for FINTRAC and the federal government to improve education and feedback for FINTRAC reporting entities.

Was that message delivered by Minister James to her federal counterpart at the June 2019 meeting? DR. DAWKINS: I -- I do not recollect whether it was. I do not recollect it being delivered in such a way. However, I was not privy to all of the private conversations the minister may have had with her federal and provincial counterparts.

- MR. SIEBEN: May I offer a comment, Mr. McGowan? Q Yes, please.
- MR. SIEBEN: It's mostly within the context of attendance and participation in FPT meetings. I did not attend that meeting obviously, given that

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

I don't have a role in the Ministry of Finance. But based on my experience attending similar meetings in the past, the document that is under review right now would be prepared for an attending minister. In the event that either a specific topic was on the agenda and one might anticipate there would either be opportunity or an expectation for the attending minister to speak to the item.

Or ministers often have a time protected where they speak amongst themselves, and these documents then are more useful than in the structured meeting setting in that they give ministers the background and reminders necessary to be able to participate in more unstructured dialogue with their cross-jurisdictional set of So it is often -- often such counterparts. discussions occur at a threshold that is not as established as when a minister or a jurisdiction might commit an opinion or a point of view in a letter or other form of communication to their federal colleague. So I'm surmising from what my colleague Dr. Dawkins is saying is that this document has been prepared purely for what had been Minister James's background and participation in the event that these topics came up at that FPT meeting. If the topics hadn't come up at the FPT meeting, then there likely wouldn't have been an opportunity for Minister James to express those points of view.

- MS. HARRIS: Mr. McGowan, if I could add.
- Q Certainly, Ms. Harris, go ahead.
- MS. HARRIS: I also wanted to note that it was
 Recommendation 48 in Dr. German's first report
 that identified the need for ongoing
 communication with the federal government around
 the PCMLTFA and the addition of other entity -reporting entities, recognizing that it was
 identified within the 2018 report along with his
 second report and Dr. Maloney's report, and the
 need for those conversations to be ongoing. It
 is also a strategy within -- or an action within
 the strategy to ensure that those types of
 conversations are ongoing between both the
 Minister of Finance and the Attorney General and
 their federal counterparts. So those are
 conversations that we would expect to have

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- continue -- or would continue in the future.

 Q Okay, thank you. Mr. Sieben, you say that documents like this are prepared for the purpose of briefing a minister in case a topic comes up. Is it not also incumbent on a minister attending meetings such as this one to push at least the key messages, whether they happen to come up otherwise or not?
- MR. SIEBEN: My view, based on my experience, is the answer to that question is sometimes. It depends very much on the -- on what the agenda of that -what the agenda items are and what the opportunity is, and then sometimes it makes sense to hold your fire for another day if you think another day is going to provide you greater leverage and more success at -- my experience over 10 years of attending such -- longer than that actually -- attending such meetings is ministers do their very best to make sure that the Province's strongest points are articulated, first, if there's a commonality amongst their provincial counterparts, and then secondly, if there's opportunity to facilitate change at a federal level.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 56.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 56.

EXHIBIT 56: Briefing Document - June 3, 2019 - Federal/Provincial Implications of Expert Panel on Money Laundering in Real Estate recommendations

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If we could next have -- I'm going to in succession bring up two briefing notes -- they're our internal 15F and G -- the first of which is a briefing document for Minister James initiated by Dr. Dawkins titled "Single Regulator for Real Estate." Yes, that's it there on the screen. Thank you.

Q Under background it notes:

In September 2018, government released the Real Estate Regulatory Review (Perrin Report) that recommended that the Office of

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the Superintendent of Real Estate and the British Columbia Real Estate Council be merged into a single regulator within what is now the British Columbia Financial Services Authority.

And Dr. Dawkins, this briefing note sets out two options, one of which is to include the regulation of real estate in that authority, and the other is to create a new Crown to be the regulatory agency. And ultimately, am I correct, the recommendation is accepted and the decision is made to amalgamate those two organizations under the umbrella of the B.C. Financial Services Authority?

- DR. DAWKINS: That is correct.
- Okay. Okay and what stage is that at presently? DR. DAWKINS: We are -- sorry. The government has created a working group of deputy ministers and staff to work on -- to oversee a number of work streams to bring this commitment to fruition. There are a number of work streams -- a number of very operational measures related to you know combining information technology systems and to human resources, for example. And then there is one work stream that is related to developing the legislation that would allow for this amalgamation -- I don't think it's technically an amalgamation -- but to allow for this decision to be realized. And the work stream relating to the legislation is a work stream that I chair, and the legislation is being developed now.
- Q And what benefits does this new structure -- is it intended to provide from an AML perspective?
- DR. DAWKINS: My understanding that one of the reasons underlying the recommendation in the Perrin report is that there are some synergies between the regulation of real estate licensees and the regulation of mortgage brokers, which -- mortgage brokers are currently regulated under the -- by the BCFSA. And you know there's sort of a synergy between those two activities. There's also sort of a limited synergy with respective financial institutions because when people buy houses, they get mortgages. They get the mortgages with credit unions in some cases. And so the opportunity for the sharing of information

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

within one organization would be beneficial in terms of allowing for an understanding of what's going on in the financial services sector, including real estate, broadly within British Columbia. Also with a focus to reducing money laundering.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, please, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be 57.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 57.

EXHIBIT 57: Briefing Document - September 17, 2019 - Single Regulator for Real Estate

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. And if we could just have the next single regulator briefing note brought up, please.

Q Dr. Dawkins, this was a briefing note and decision that respected the rule-making procedures subject to the regulations; is that correct?

DR. DAWKINS: Correct.

MR. MCGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.

DR. DAWKINS: I should clarify. This is the rule-making procedure for the integrated regulator in respect of real estate.

Q Yes. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. That will be Exhibit 58 then.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 58.

EXHIBIT 58: Briefing Document - October 16, 2019 - Single Regulator for Real Estate - Rule-making procedures

- MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you. I'm going to move to a new topic area now.
- Q Ms. Harris, maybe I'll start with you on this. One of the major aspects of the mandate of the secretariat and initially the sole mandate and, I gather, still a significant mandate is overseeing what might be described as a bit of an overhaul to the AML regime in the gaming industry in British Columbia; is that fair?
- MS. HARRIS: Sorry, can you repeat that.
- Q Maybe I'll rephrase it. One of the major aspects

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- of the secretariat's role and undertaking is addressing the issue of money laundering in British Columbia casinos and specifically Lower Mainland casinos.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- Q And one of the focuses of that undertaking is addressing issues related to the source of funds and specifically the source of cash that has been coming into and continues to come into casinos.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, and that's relative to recommendations set out by Dr. German.
- Yes. And let me ask you. There has been a suggestion and reports in the media that there has that B.C. casinos -- Lower Mainland casinos for a time became a repository for very significant quantities of cash that were illicit in origin. I'm sure you're familiar with those suggestions.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- Q And the secretariat and various bodies have taken actions to respond to those suggestions, including the implementation of source of cash requirements.
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's one action that was taken. Yes.
- Q We'll come to that and some of the other actions just in a brief moment. But do I take it, given that these responses have been put in place, that the deputy ministers committee takes as a starting point an acceptance of the conclusion that for a period of time B.C. casinos did become the repository of significant quantities of cash that was illicit in origin?
- MS. HARRIS: I can't speak for the deputy ministers committee. I'll leave that to Mr. Sieben. I can say that for the -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.
- Q I was just going to say, from the secretariat's perspective then?
- MS. HARRIS: From the secretariat's perspective, we accept Dr. German's notes in principle and looked into those -- the recommendations, and based on that, we took action.
- Q Okay. Maybe I'll ask you, Mr. Sieben, to offer any response you have to the question.
- MR. SIEBEN: Sure. On behalf of the committee, I would -- I would agree with Ms. Harris's description as it applies to the secretariat.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

That's fairly consistent with the views of the committee. Certainly the suggestions, as you'd put it, Mr. McGowan. And the findings as they came out through Dr. German's report more than suggest that there has been some degree of proceeds of crime money finding their ways into a casino. Being able to accurately and with confidence quantify that is a challenge, but it is enough for us as a committee on behalf of government to want to focus our attention to ensure that gaming in B.C. can continue and proceed with a greater level of confidence that proceeds of crime money is less likely to find its way into casinos on a go-forward basis.

Q Okay. I'm going to ask you about a decision that was made at a November 20th deputy ministers committee meeting, and I don't require the minutes to be brought up unless you want to look at them. If you do, say so, please.

But a decision was taken that the regulator will be the regulator of BCLC. And I take it the regulator, at least at that time, was the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch?

- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.
- Q Was there some issue or uncertainty prior to this time as to whether GPEB was the regulator of BCLC?
- MS. HARRIS: I can't speak to the past or perceptions of the past. I would like to take a moment to look at the minutes if that's okay with you, Mr. McGowan.
- Q Absolutely. Do you have a copy with you? If we could just pull up the November 20th, 2018 minutes. And the decision -- the note I'm looking at is under "3. Standards-based model: The regulator will be the regulator of BCLC and a standards-based model will be implemented." And it's the first part of that decision that I'm interested in. I'm wondering whether there was some uncertainty and why a decision as to whether GPEB regulated BCLC was required.
- MS. HARRIS: Specifics to the past around uncertainty around the regulator being the regulator of B.C., the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch is there to protect the integrity of gambling in British Columbia. I don't know -- I'm not an expert at the Gaming Control Act and I'm not sure of the

22 23

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

language around -- how it's stated around the regulator being a regulator of B.C. I know that there was uncertainty and there was clarity needed around the roles and responsibilities between the gambling regulator and the operator, which is B.C. Lottery Corporation, BCLC, and that clarity was required within the legislation.

So the recommendation set forth in this discussion, which is Recommendation 24, which is a transition to the standards-based model as well as Recommendations 1 and 2 that speak to the clarity in the legislation. This number -- this item in the minutes, number 3, was the decision that that clarity should be made.

- Thank you. One of the recommendations that flowed out of the German report was that gaming service providers report directly to FINTRAC. Am I correct that that -- a decision has been made, perhaps after some consultation, not to adopt that recommendation?
- MS. HARRIS: Yeah, that is Recommendation 5 in Dr. German's first report, and there is related Recommendations 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 that are related to reporting to FINTRAC. Under -- after much review and analysis, we did come to the --"we" being the secretariat along with the experts at the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch, along with BCLC, and in consultation with service providers, it was noted that there was concern with having service providers report directly to FINTRAC. That being said, the concerns that Dr. German laid out in his report were addressed, and other actions were put in place to mitigate those concerns.
- Q Okay. Did some of the concerns about service providers reporting directly come from FINTRAC?
- MS. HARRIS: I'm not aware where Dr. German's concerns came from.
- Q No, I'm sorry. Were concerns that having service providers report to FINTRAC was not a viable option -- maybe let me put it this way. Did you consult FINTRAC and did FINTRAC oppose the adoption of that recommendation?
- MS. HARRIS: The secretariat did not speak to FINTRAC. This was a conversation that took place between BCLC and FINTRAC as well as the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch and FINTRAC. However, I am

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

privy to the conversation through the letters that were received. I can state that FINTRAC was not opposed -- in my interpretation of the letter from FINTRAC, FINTRAC was not opposed to service providers reporting directly to FINTRAC. However, there were concerns from FINTRAC in the way and the process that was being suggested and how that would take place. Clarity was also given by FINTRAC that even though service providers were -- if they were to report directly to FINTRAC, the responsibility and accountability would still rest with BCLC.

Q Thank you. I'd like to move on to the issue of enforcement, and one of the things that's identified in the documents that had been prepared outlining the province's approach is challenges that have arisen combating money laundering from an enforcement perspective.

Am I correct that at present the JIGIT team, which has a gaming focus, is the only police unit in British Columbia currently with an AML -- with a specific AML mandate?

- MS. HARRIS: I'll let Mark speak specifically to the mandate of JIGIT.
- O Mr. Sieben.
- MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. Yeah, there are -- I would say that's very close to being completely correct. There are likely aspects of investigation pertaining to money laundering that may be addressed through other forms of integrated teams through CFSEU here in British Columbia or other entities. But in terms of a primary focus on taking activities in order to specifically address money laundering, JIGIT is the best -- the best example that we have currently, yes.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Okay. I wonder if we could just bring up, please, Madam Registrar, a slide deck titled "Designated Policing Unit Discussion," February 2019.
- Q Mr. Sieben, you're familiar with this slide deck? MR. SIEBEN: My apologies. I lost my screen there for a moment. Yes, I am. Not as familiar as Ms. Harris, but I'm familiar with the deck.
- Q Okay. Well, maybe -- Ms. Harris, I'll ask you, then. Why was this document created? For what purpose?
- MS. HARRIS: This presentation, I should note, is

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

- representation for another document that you have. I'm just looking up the title of it here.

 Is that the Anti-Money Laundering Financial
 - Q Is that the Anti-Money Laundering Financial Intelligence and Investigation Unit draft proposal?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct.
 - Q I'll come to that next. I just wanted to ask you --
 - MS. HARRIS: Okay. So this presentation is the document that was a high overview to present that larger document.
 - Q And this is a document -- who was the presentation made to with this --
 - MS. HARRIS: This presentation was presented to the AML Deputy Ministers committee. It was thought of to move forward to cabinet but it did not proceed.
 - Q Okay. And am I correct that this presentation and the paper that we're going to come to in a moment were in response to Mr. German -- the recommendation from Mr. German's -- Dr. German's Recommendation 37, which recommended creating a dedicated police unit specialized in criminal and regulatory investigations within the legal gaming industry?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes. It's relative to, if I recall this correctly, Recommendations 37, 38, 39, 40, as well as 42 and 43.
 - Q Right. The primary recommendation relating to a dedicated police unit being Recommendation 37?
 - MS. HARRIS: Correct.
 - And two options are presented and discussed on this slide deck, the first one being -- maybe I'll talk about the second one, the second being a dedicated police unit as envisioned by Dr. German, and the first being sort of a multipronged approach that goes beyond gaming but doesn't create a sort of separate dedicated police unit. Is that a fair overview?
 - MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. But I also -- I would like to go back to further my previous comment.
 - Q Yes, please.
 - MS. HARRIS: I stated that this presentation was an overview of that larger document, and I was not correct. So this is the presentation that came first. This was the high-level thinking behind

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

what we would like to propose. The Anti-Money Laundering Deputy Ministers Committee provided us feedback and asked us to do further work and do a bit more, and more consultation on this with some of our partners. At that time we returned with that greater business case.

Q Okay.

- MS. HARRIS: So the material in this presentation is outdated compared to that broader document.
- Q Okay. Well, let's move to the broader document then and I'll ask you some questions about that. And that is a document that appears to come into existence a few months after the slide deck we were looking at. This is a May 7th, 2019, document titled "Anti-Money Laundering Financial Intelligence and Investigations Unit."
- MR. MCGOWAN: And Mr. Commissioner, just before we go there, if I could mark the slide deck as the next exhibit, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: The slide deck will then be marked as Exhibit 59.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 59.

EXHIBIT 59: Anti-Money Laundering Policing Unit Discussion (Slide Deck)

MR. MCGOWAN: And if we could pull up the paper I just referenced, please. If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 60.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 60.

EXHIBIT 60: Anti-Money Laundering Financial Intelligence and Investigations Unit Draft Proposal

MR. MCGOWAN:

- Q And I gather this paper is a more detailed exploration of Option 1 from the slide deck; is that a fair assessment?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fair.
- Q This was drafted by the secretariat?
- MS. HARRIS: No. This is a document that was drafted by the Police Services Branch. The secretariat was involved. So many items such as this, we would place the responsibility of the action on

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

the experts. So in this case we -- we asked the Police Services Branch to take the lead on this document. So in this case they drafted the document. I was involved in the meetings surrounding the document, the discussions that were held with our partners' jurisdiction, and I also was involved in the editing and preparation once it was initially drafted, and involved in the presentation of this to the AMLDMC.

- Okay. And just in brief, can you give the Commissioner an overview of what is being proposed in this document.
- MS. HARRIS: This document speaks to -- actually two new units, one financial intelligence and investigative unit that speaks more to the criminal enforcement side. That's the primary function of this document. It outlines the need for a larger multisectoral approach to intelligence and investigating crimes of money It brings together both federal laundering. policing, provincial policing as well as regulators as needed. In this case we used the example of the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch. If you look at the diagrams at the end and the org chart that we had envisioned, you can see how we envisioned the enforcement regulator being involved in such a team.

Q Right.

- MS. HARRIS: The second unit that was thought of that came to light was the need for regulatory information sharing. That is the one area after doing consultation that we discovered was a gap in knowledge, and this document, if I recall correctly, briefly outlines what we termed -- and is not, I want to clarify is not the formal name in any way, it was just our internal naming -- but the concept of what we called a fusion centre, which was a regulatory information sharing centre.
- And was the Financial Intelligence Unit that is envisioned being created by this paper, is it proposed that they would be the recipients of something akin to suspicious transaction reports from various sectors?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes, correct. So right now, if I use the gambling sector as an example, service providers would create what's called an unusual financial

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

transaction alert. Those unusual financial transaction alerts are provided to BCLC and the ultimate creation of a suspicious transaction reports that would go to FINTRAC. And when those UFTs, unusual financial transactions, go to BCLC, they also go to the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch as well as JIGIT. They also are analyzed on a weekly basis by the gaming intelligence group that was created about a year or so ago. And JIGIT has the opportunity to review all those UFTs and ultimately the STRs that are submitted.

- Right. And does it envision this Financial Intelligence Unit would also take suspicious financial transaction reports from other sectors such as the real estate industry?
- MS. HARRIS: That would be our hope.
- Q Well, is it --
- MS. HARRIS: That --
- Q Sorry, go ahead.
- MS. HARRIS: No, I was just going to say that is -that was the thinking behind -- behind this. We
 were using the example of how it operates with
 JIGIT to operate within the broader team.
- Q And is -- so is essentially what's envisioned here sort of a B.C.-based FINTRAC?
- MS. HARRIS: Yes and no. Yes, because it is -- it would be an intelligence unit that would be able to look into suspicious activity. No, from the standpoint that this would be more on the criminal enforcement and intelligence side. And action could be taken.
- Q Okay. And would the -- is it envisioned that this the information collected by this unit would be available to law enforcement and regulators?
- MS. HARRIS: It would, if I recall correctly -- and I'd have to refresh my memory. But the information that would be obtained by this group and created by this group would be available to law enforcement unless there was a specific regulatory enforcement team involved. And if that was the case, it would be available to them under certain circumstances.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I just have a couple more questions about this document. I wonder if I might just carry on and finish those before we conclude today.

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine, Mr. McGowan. MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you.

Q I'm on page 6 of the document. I'm looking at the page numbers in the bottom right corner. In the middle of the page, second sentence of the third paragraph:

As detailed above with the federal RCMP, police at the provincial and municipal level have also suffered from competing enforcement priorities (i.e. violent crime), resulting in an inability to invest in intelligence resources and investigative expertise to respond to money laundering and proceeds of crime cases.

So I guess that raises the question of what is proposed in terms of funding and the need to ensure that funding is not diverted elsewhere if a unit like this is to be sustainable.

- MS. HARRIS: In regard to the cost to fund a unit such as this, based on -- I can bring into consideration the previous presentation we were looking at. And in Dr. German's report, he referred to the B.C. Transit dedicated policing unit.
- O Right.
- MS. HARRIS: When we first undertook this work, "we" being the secretariat along with our experts in public safety and others, we first examined the cost and the resources required for something like that dedicated policing unit. We then worked with our working group for the FIIU, the Financial Intelligence and Investigative Unit, to cost out what it would approximately take to fund a unit such as this as well as scalable options from starting -- start to a fully engaged team. It's not something that we completely fleshed out, and much work would have been needed if this business case was approved to move forward at the time. Right now I can say it was put on hold so further work on the costing was not completed.
- Q Were you seeking fenced funding?
- MS. HARRIS: That wasn't something that we discussed.
- Q Has the proposal been approved or rejected or something else?
- MS. HARRIS: This was brought forward to the AML

 Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

Deputy Ministers Committee in May of 2019. This was -- and I can let Mr. Sieben speak to it more, but this was put on hold since -- I think it was -- what was the date on this document? A couple of days later or a week later the Cullen Inquiry was announced. And there we found that there was a need to look at this at the broader -- in a broader perspective against all priorities. So it was put on hold. It was not approved nor rejected. I can pass it to Mr. Sieben.

MR. SIEBEN: Yeah, I'm pleased to offer comments, Mr. McGowan, on behalf of the committee.

The proposal is, in our view, certainly in mine given the Police Services Branch reports up to me, is a really great piece of work from our team with the assistance of the secretariat, and the proposal definitely has merit. And, as Ms. Harris has indicated, the development of sort of more of this business case was the outcome of the discussion that we had on the earlier deck.

With that being said, and going back to a question you'd asked Ms. Harris a moment ago, the unit still continues to strike the deputy ministers committee as potentially a very sort of police sort of heavy. That's not necessarily a bad thing. That might just be what is required. However, work also continues with the other aspects of the money laundering strategy within the broader sector. There are many regulators. All of them are eager to up their game, to improve their response to money laundering. it is -- it was our view then, a little over a year ago, and it is our view now that any solution that we bring forward as a substantial -- likely the substantial response to money laundering necessarily has to be integrated and holistic and commensurate with the level of criminal activity that we're seeing.

As we've discussed a little bit in today's conversation of, the criminal economy is nimble, and where there is strong enforcement action, the money tends to move to a new sector. So our responses then necessarily need to be nimble as well.

The initial thinking pertaining to sort of costing had the ballpark figures between 15 and 20 million dollars a year. That's not a small

Q

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

amount of money for a government to consider. And as Ms. Harris has indicated, it was around the same time that government made the decision that an inquiry would be the best model to facilitate further fact-finding and advice pertaining to -- addressing money laundering here in the province.

So while continued work goes on relating to integration and support amongst the regulators, we have not brought this model forward for government's consideration. Our view is our government is likely to think that is presumptive, given the price tag and given my comments a moment ago, until it might receive the benefit of the Commissioner's advice. All right. Just one final question, then, arising from this report. On page 7 at the top, the report states:

Currently there is no dedicated, funded criminal or regulatory agency, team or department in place within B.C. to organize or lead a coordinated and collaborative effort around prevention, disruption and enforcement to address current priority areas, such as real estate and luxury goods.

And I gather it refers to money laundering in those areas.

And I juxtapose that with the opening sentence of the report that says:

Contemporary money laundering issues have been rising in B.C. since 2015 when an auditor report from a Lower Mainland casino revealed matters of significant concern.

I think it's fair to say there has been significant concern expressed about the issue of money laundering at least that far back in a number of sectors. Yet we're some five years later without a funded criminal regulatory agency, team or department in the province. And I guess I'm just wondering why do we have that five-year gap with what appears to be not much of a law enforcement response to a problem that was identified at least back in 2015?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

MR. SIEBEN: Yeah. I'll offer [indiscernible] of the discussion and I certainly can pick the conversation up perhaps with our next session. It is incumbent upon those of us working in this area that, as I've noted before, to make sure that we're providing government with the right solution and that there is confidence in So for example, if we make a particular focus to address enforcement of money laundering in casinos and gaming, we're likely to find and perhaps some might argue that those proceeds of crime will find another sector in order to do their business. So while I accept that 2015 to 2020 would strike many as a long period of time, much has happened and much has been learnt through both the external reporters as well as within government about how best to approach these issues. So we have a much stronger sense now of what we think is going to be effective as opposed to what is going to move money to an area where we are less likely to have success and do it all over again.

There is also the issue that we've touched on a couple of times regarding of provincial responsibility and resources in comparison to what one[indiscernible] might see from the federal government in terms of jurisdiction and responsibility and resources that might be Those discussions continue. offered. been increased activity within the limited JIGIT model and within policing generally here within B.C., while at the same time that we've seen the regulators in the other sectors looking to take on their sense of responsibility in this area with a fair degree of vigour. The challenge now is just to make sure that we make sure that it's going to be focused as a holistic and consistent framework for effective enforcement, rather than make the mistake of seeing great effort result in continued sort of siloed attempts that don't produce results that everyone is looking for.

MR. MCGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Sieben. Mr. Commissioner, thank you for those few extra minutes to conclude my questions about that report.

I'm going to suggest that we adjourn for the day at this point to recommence tomorrow morning

Mark Sieben, Christina Dawkins and Megan Harris (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McGowan, Counsel for the Commission

at 9:30.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. I will accept your suggestion and we will adjourn to tomorrow at 9:30.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO JUNE 12, 2020, AT 9:30 A.M.)